1	
2	
3	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF A MEETING
5	OF THE
6	STATE OF NEVADA
7	PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS LICENSING BOARD
8	
9	
10	Thursday, December 9, 2010 9:00 a.m.
11	9.00 a.m.
12	
13	Location:
14	Office of the Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
15	Mock Court Room Carson City, Nevada
16	
17	Wide and Farmer Touchieus
18	Videoconference Location: Grant Sawyer State Office Building
19	555 East Washington Avenue Attorney General Conference Room, Suite 4500
20	Las Vegas, Nevada
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY: SHANNON L. TAYLOR, CCR, CSR, RMR
24	Certified Court, Shorthand and Registered Merit Reporter Nevada CCR #322, California CSR #8753, Idaho CSR #485
25	1381 Valley View Drive, Carson City, Nevada 89701 (775) 887-0472

1		APPEARANCES
2		
3	Board Men	mbers Present:
4		David Spencer, Chairman
5		Jim Nadeau Richard Putnam Mark Zane (Las Vegas)
6	7100:	
7	AISO.	Mechele Ray Executive Director
8		Thoran Towler Deputy Attorney General
9		Acting Board Counsel
10		Kimberly Ozekie
11		Deputy Attorney General Acting Board Counsel
12		Tammy Whatley Investigator
13		
14		Steve Jupp (Las Vegas) Investigator
15		Nick Roble (Las Vegas) Investigator
16		Kimberly Christensen
17		Assistant
18	Othor Do	atiainanta in Conson Citur
19	Other Par	rticipants in Carson City:
20		Richard Deleon Brandi Leach
21		
22	Other Par	rticipants in Las Vegas:
23		Lloyd Hannah Christopher West
		Dennis Rippelmeyer
24		
25	(continue	ed)

```
Other Participants in Las Vegas (continued):
 1
             John Fontenette
 2
             Walter Derious Smith
             Johnny Sanchez
 3
             Anthony Williams
             Errol Davis
 4
             Farley Thomas
             Christopher Gloria
 5
             Michael Goldberg
             Mr. Goldberg (Michael Goldberg's father)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		I N D E X	
2	TODA		DAGE
3	ITEM		PAGE
4	1.	Roll Call of Board members	7
5	2.	All applicants and witnesses to be sworn in	7
6	3.	Public Comment	
7	4.	Richard Deleon	8
8	5.	Lloyd Hannah	11
9	6.	Christopher West	18
10	7.	Richard Rosas	30 119
11	8.	Donte Martin	30
12	9.	Dennis Rippelmeyer	35
13	10.	Brandi Leach	48
14	11.	John Fontenette	64
15	12.	Walter Derious Smith	75
16	13.	Kyron Webb	76
17	1.4		118
18	14.	Gregg Ramirez	77 118
19	15.	Johnny Sanchez	77
20	16.	Anthony Williams	82
21	17.	Errol Davis	84
22	18.	Farley Thomas	94 104
23	19.	TW Garrard	94
24	± J •	IN GALLALU	118
25			

1	20.	Christopher Gloria	95
2	21.	Dominique Cloutier	104
3			105 118
4	22.	Joseph Marshall	106
5	23.	Michael Goldberg	108
6	24.	Board Comment and discussion only	173
7	25.	Future Agenda items	
8	26.	Public comment and discussion only	
9			
10		AGENDA ITEMS FROM YESTERDAY'S MEETING (12-08-10)	
11		(12 00 10)	
12	31.	Discussion and possible action to amend NAC 648 to include retention schedules for licensees	120
13 14 15	32.	Discussion and possible action or the development of an Enforcement/Disciplinary Action Page located on the PILB website 128	
16 17	36.	Discussion, comments and approval of staff's proposed criteria for registration application denials and registered applicant's appeal process	144
18 19	37.	Discussion, comments and review of PILB Policy Manual	172
20	38.	Discussion, comments and review of PILB Procedure Manual	173
21	39.	Board Comment and discussion only	173
22		(Agenda Item 24 on 12-09-10 Agenda)	173
23			
24			
25			

1	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, DECEMBER 9, 2010, 9:20 A.M.
2	-000-
3	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: It's time to get
4	rolling here. This is approximately the time and the
5	place set for the second day of the quarterly meeting
6	for the Private Investigators Licensing Board.
7	The majority of you people sitting in there
8	are probably all of you in there, whose names I won't
9	go through all at once, are there for a registration
10	appeal hearing. You have the right, each and every one
11	of you, individually, if you want, to have a closed
12	hearing, which means everyone else in the room has to
13	leave, you'll have your hearing, and then the decision
14	will be made. Obviously, that takes a long time, doing
15	it that way, but do you have that right.
16	What we will do is we will start for
17	instance, we'll start with Mr. Richard Deleon, and we
18	will go through them. If we get to one of you, and you
19	want to have a closed hearing, just let us know at that
20	time.
21	Is that pretty clear down there?
22	(People in attendance said yes.)
23	Okay. Mark, is that clear?
24	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yes, sir.
2 5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SDENCER: Okay All right

1	Let's see. All right. First up for an appeal
2	hearing oh, wait a minute.
3	
4	AGENDA ITEM 1
5	ROLL CALL OF BOARD MEMBERS
6	
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Roll call of Board
8	members.
9	MS. RAY: Board Member Zane?
10	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Here.
11	MS. RAY: Board Member Putnam?
12	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Here.
13	MS. RAY: Board Member Nadeau?
14	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Here.
15	MS. RAY: Chairman Spencer?
16	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Here.
17	MS. RAY: And Board Member Uithoven is absent
18	today.
19	
20	AGENDA ITEM 2
21	ALL APPLICANTS AND WITNESSES TO BE SWORN IN
22	
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. The swearing in
24	of all applicants and witnesses.
25	MR. TOWLER: Would you please stand and raise

1	your right hand if you'll be testifying today.
2	Do you swear that the testimony you give today
3	will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
4	truth, so help you?
5	(Applicants and potential witnesses present
6	responded affirmatively.)
7	
8	AGENDA ITEM 4
9	RICHARD DELEON
10	
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Let's
12	start out with Richard Deleon.
13	Come up and sit there.
14	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman?
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes.
16	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I need to make a record on
17	this particular item.
18	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
19	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I'm going to need to
20	abstain from participation. Although I've not met the
21	appellant, he was employed by my agency up until the
22	time that this, that this provisional was revoked. So,
23	therefore, I won't be participating in item number four
24	out of an abundance of caution, so that nobody can claim
25	any type of conflict.

BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Well, we 1 still have a quorum. 2 How are you today? 3 MR. DELEON: Not too bad. 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You applied for --5 MR. DELEON: Could we get this as a closed 6 session? 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You would like this 8 9 closed? MR. DELEON: Yes. 10 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. We'll have a 11 closed session. That means that everybody down in 12 Las Vegas will have to go out in the other room, out in 1.3 the hallway. And everyone here. 14 MS. WHATLEY: Could she stay? She's not a 15 witness. 16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Sure, she could stay. 17 MS. RAY: Is Steve there? Nick? 18 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: He's here. 19 MS. RAY: Okay. Are you -- where are you, so I 20 2.1 can... 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: There you are, but your head's cut off. 2.3 24 25 (A closed session of the meeting was held, after which

1	the meeting was then opened back up to the public and
2	resumed as follows.)
3	* * * *
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. We're in open
5	meeting now. Okay. We're back, we're back in open
6	meeting now.
7	What I was going to say to you, Mr. Deleon, is
8	a year from now, assuming you haven't been arrested or
9	anything else I'm sure you won't be do the
10	application again. Do not fail to list everything.
11	If is it lawful for him to have a copy of
12	that, of that printout, Steve?
13	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: I don't think so, sir. I
14	could research that, but I don't think so.
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Will you write
16	them down for me. Thanks. Because he's going to have
17	to know what he's doing.
18	We'll provide you, at some point, if you just
19	call the office here, we'll provide you with the
20	locations and the alleged violations so you can check on
21	those. If there are some in there that are not done,
22	then, by all means, take care of them.
23	MR. DELEON: M-hm (affirmative).
24	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Then, a year from now,
25	you can come back again and won't have a problem. I

1	suspect you probably will have a job, too.
2	MR. DELEON: No, I probably won't after this.
3	I mean it's my main income, my main job. A lot of my
4	job was riding on what happened today.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Could I have a motion?
6	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Mr. Chairman, I move that
7	the appeal of Richard Deleon not be granted, or that
8	the his denial be upheld.
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Second. All in favor,
10	signify by saying "aye."
11	(Board members said "aye.")
12	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: See you in a year.
13	MR. DELEON: Thank you.
14	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Put me on record as
15	voting in opposition to the motion.
16	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
17	
18	AGENDA ITEM 5
19	LLOYD HANNAH
20	
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Lloyd
22	Hannah.
23	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Mr. Chairman, can you see
24	Mr. Hannah right now?
25	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Where we're at no,

1 we can't. INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Okay. Because we have a 2 shot of a table there at your location. That's it. 3 We've got a bad view of your place. 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Steve, is this yours, 5 6 too? INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Yes, sir. 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Good morning, 8 Mr. Hannah. 9 MR. HANNAH: Good morning. 10 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Steve, 11 what do you have there? 12 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Mr. Hannah was denied 1.3 because the FBI fingerprint response showed that he was 14 arrested for aggravated assault, a felony, and carrying 15 weapons within school safety zones and school functions 16 17 or on school property, a felony. Mr. Hannah did not note those facts in his application. 18 There's something significant about his case, 19 though. He was 15 years of age when that happened, and 20 yet the report, the fingerprint response, shows that he 2.1 was arrested and charged as an adult. On September 16th 22 of this year, I checked his local SCOPE and state 2.3 records and found that he had had no arrests. 24 25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: How old are you now,

Mr. Hannah? 1 MR. HANNAH: 24, sir. 2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 24. Okay. You were a 3 juvenile when this happened? 4 MR. HANNAH: Yes, sir. 5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Any 6 questions from the Board? 7 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: He was a juvenile. 8 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Do you have a 10 question? BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Yeah. Mr. Hannah, you 11 were charged as an adult; is that correct? 12 MR. HANNAH: Yes, sir, because of a mistake by 1.3 the State of Georgia, sir. 14 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. And did you serve 15 time like in a juvenile facility or anything of that 16 17 nature? MR. HANNAH: Yes, sir, in the juvenile 18 facility. 19 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. And so the reason 20 you didn't include it is because you thought what? 2.1 22 MR. HANNAH: Because I thought it was past seven years, and that it was juvenile, and I wouldn't 23 have to mention it. 24 25 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Same.

1	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Mr. Chairman, I have a
2	question.
3	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?
4	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Sir, how long did you
5	serve, how long were you in custody?
6	MR. HANNAH: Two weeks.
7	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Thank you.
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Could I get a motion.
9	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I just need a
10	clarification.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
12	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: So the criminal I'm
13	asking Steve. The criminal history report indicated
14	that he had this arrest, the FBI search, correct?
15	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Yes, sir.
16	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: But the local, when you
17	did local checks within SCOPE and CJIS, it came back he
18	had no record?
19	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: That's correct, sir. In
20	other words, the only criminal activity I could find on
21	his part are these two arrests right here that go back
22	to 2001.
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: When I was still with
24	the bureau, I would frequently get calls from people who
25	said, "My records were expunged, but I just get turned

down because of " -- this and that. Well, they thought 1 that if they expunge it, that it was -- the bureau 2 automatically expunded the records. That's not true. 3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: It has to be the local 4 entity. 5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: It has to be the local 6 entity. It has to be requested that it be done. And in 7 this case, it was not done. So he does not have a 8 9 record. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Well, I mean he doesn't 10 have a record locally, but Georgia was responsible for 11 expunging the record. 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: No. Yeah, they were 1.3 responsible and then did not do it. 14 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I understand. 15 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. 16 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: But we didn't check 17 Georgia's records. We checked our local records, 18 which -- I mean I'm not trying to be argumentative, but. 19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I know what you're 20 saying, but the Georgia records had to do with his --2.1 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: He was arrested in 22 Georgia. Right? 2.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I believe so. 24 25 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Isn't that, isn't that

1	what you said, sir, that you were arrested in Georgia?
2	MR. HANNAH: Yes, sir.
3	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: See what I'm saying?
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah.
5	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I mean I'm not trying to
6	be argumentative, but I'm just
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, I know.
8	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'm just trying to be
9	clear. We don't know what Georgia says. We just know
10	what our local says and what the FBI says.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What record did you
12	check, or Steve check?
13	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Mr. Chairman?
14	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?
15	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Steve again. Mr. Hannah
16	just gave me a letter that was sent to the Georgia
17	Bureau of Investigation. It's dated June 14th, 2010.
18	May I read this into the record? I think it has bearing
19	on what we're talking about here.
20	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Certainly, please do.
21	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: It's to the Georgia Bureau
22	of Investigation, Crime Information Center, in Decatur,
23	Georgia from Juvenile Court of DeKalb County, Georgia.
24	The letter says "Please correct the history of
25	Lloyd Hannah, Jr., DOB XX-XX-XX (REDACTED), Social

Security number XXX-XXX-XXXX (REDACTED). Mr. Hannah was 1 fingerprinted on 3-8-2001 for aggravated assault and 2 carrying a weapon. These charges processed in DeKalb 3 County Juvenile Court. Mr. Hannah was not treated as an 4 adult. Would you please correct the error and GCIC? 5 Mr. Hannah was placed on juvenile probation for 12 6 months. His probation was terminated early. If you 7 have any questions, please contact the following 8 number." It's Angela Duncan Clubs, Clerk of the Court. 9 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: 10 Thank you. 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'm glad you came up with that letter, Mr. Hannah. 12 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Yep, that's perfect. 1.3 Perfect. 14 MR. HANNAH: Thank you, sir. 15 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'll entertain a 16 17 motion. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'd just move that the 18 application be approved, that the denial be overturned. 19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Second. All in favor, 20 signify by saying "aye." 2.1 (Board members said "aye.") 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. You can go 23 to work. 24 25 MR. HANNAH: Thank you, sir.

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you for
2	following through.
3	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: If Mr. Deleon had had his
4	records sealed, that wouldn't have been an issue, just
5	like this.
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: For all of those who
7	think we're stumbling around, we are. This is a new
8	process for us, and it's only been in effect for a short
9	period of time, and we're still trying to get the kinks
10	out of the way.
11	
12	AGENDA ITEM 6
13	CHRISTOPHER WEST
14	
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Next,
16	Christopher West.
17	Good morning, Mr. West.
18	MR. WEST: Hello.
19	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: How are you today?
20	MR. WEST: Good.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Good. Can you tell
22	us, Steve, what you have on him?
23	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Yes, sir. He was denied,
24	essentially because they couldn't figure out what he'd
25	been arrested for.

On June 24th, I called the applicant, Mr. West, 1 and left a message asking him the status, or asking the 2 status of his application, left a message on his 3 arrest -- or said his arrest history was incomplete and 4 didn't list offenses. 5 The arrest history they -- provided by Mr. West 6 for the registration application shows three arrests as 7 follows: Las Vegas P.D., arrest date 2002. Offense --8 9 nothing was listed except No conviction. Disposition, fine needs to be paid. The second arrest, San Diego, 10 California, 2004. Offense, no conviction. Disposition, 11 fine problem again. Third arrest, Portland P.D. in 12 1989. Offense, no record. Disposition, none over 20 1.3 14 years. The local records check, SCOPE specifically, 15 showed that one arrest, fugitive, larceny, Las Vegas 16 17 Metropolitan Police Department, August 25th, 2005. That's the only entry on SCOPE. 18 During the period of about June 24th to July 19 22nd, I spoke with Mr. West two or three times over the 20 Each time, Mr. West was evasive about exactly 2.1 phone. what he'd been arrested for, as noted in his 22 application. The FBI fingerprint response was received 23 about August 18th, 2010 and showed the following 24 25 arrests: Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,

- arrest date August 25th, 2005. Offense, fugitive from 1 justice, a felony. No disposition listed. Second 2 arrest, Sheriff's Office, Arapahoe County, Littleton, 3 Colorado, September 8th, 2005. Offense, larceny, 4 felony. Disposition, dismissed. Third arrest, 5 Sheriff's Office, Arapahoe County, Littleton, Colorado, 6 June 5th, 2000. Offense, theft, felony, forgery, 7 felony. Both were dismissed. 8 9 Quite frankly, sir, the reason I denied him after talking to him a couple of times on the phone and 10 in an e-mail with him, he simply wouldn't tell me what 11 he'd been arrested for. I could not find out what he'd 12 been arrested for. But when I saw the SCOPE entry, it 1.3 indicated that he had been charged with a felony in 14 Colorado and that he was arrested by Las Vegas 15 Metropolitan Police Department for extradition. 16 17 Consequently, I denied him and with his appeal right to come to the Board. 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: There's no -- can I 19 just ask him? 2.0 2.1 MR. TOWLER: Are you, are you asking? 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'm going to ask him
- MR. TOWLER: Yeah, and he doesn't have to
- 25 answer. But go ahead and ask.

if he'll tell me.

2.3

BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 1 Mr. West? MR. WEST: Yes? 2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What were you arrested 3 for? 4 I actually -- when I even talked to MR. WEST: 5 Steve, I had to make phone calls. And they said it was 6 for the theft, aggravated theft. But each time that was 7 for the situation in Colorado, I didn't take care of 8 something like I should have, and my lawyer didn't tell 9 me to do absolutely what I needed to do, or I just 10 missed it. 11 That's what I was told. I didn't know what the 12 legal aspect of what I was being arrested for. I don't 1.3 remember the name of it. That's why I was making those 14 phone calls after I talked to Steve the first time. 15 Ιn '89, I put it down but was told that if I was to be 16 17 asked any questions, that I don't even need to worry about it, that the arrest record shouldn't even be 18 showing up. But I put it down, just in all honesty, so 19 that I could make sure that my information was on there. 2.0 But I had specifics, and I went into what 2.1 happened with Steve over the phone. I had e-mailed him 22 with some information. And I got together with a lawyer 2.3 of mine to help me put it together in two pages, which I 24 25 do have a copy sent to your office that was -- I think,

it was Mechele Ray said that she got the copy of the 1 letter. I do have a copy here for -- if somebody needs 2 a copy of the letter. But it's -- just goes into detail 3 of what I remember, as well as what -- my phone calls to 4 the courts telling me what happened or telling me what 5 the specifics are, since I don't know the legal wording 6 on it. 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So the arrest in 8 9 Las Vegas was for the charge in Littleton? MR. WEST: Yes, and so was the one in 10 California. 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. So you've been 12 arrested twice for one charge in Littleton, Colorado? 13 MR. WEST: Right. 14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. 15 MR. WEST: One that I didn't know was existing 16 before I left. 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Did you ever appear 18 before anybody for that charge? 19 MR. WEST: I did. 2.0 2.1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 22 MR. WEST: Several times. Actually, several I was here in Las Vegas for a little while, and 2.3 times. then they extradited me. And then I was let go right 24 25 away. And then I had to fly back and forth for the

1	court hearings.
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. So
3	MR. WEST: And
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Go ahead.
5	MR. WEST: And then my lawyer, basically, said,
6	"At this point, we can go further, or we can do whatever
7	the judge is saying right now, which means it'll be off
8	your record in a two-year period of time." That's since
9	been gone. And the charges should be off my record
10	according to the courts.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: But they're not?
12	MR. WEST: Oh, they're not gone?
13	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: No, that's what I'm
14	asking you.
15	MR. WEST: Well, I was told that, yeah, that it
16	is gone. That's what I was told. It's been like you
17	know, the two-year period lapsed. If I would have done
18	anything else, they could have, you know, made it a
19	permanent record.
20	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Can you tell us
21	what
22	MR. WEST: At that time
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Can you tell us in
24	your own words what the charge was?
25	MR. WEST: Aggravated theft is what they told

me that I was charged, that they said would have been 1 what I would have had on my permanent record, was 2 aggravated theft. When I talked to Steve, he said 3 something about larceny. I don't remember anybody 4 saying that, but I do remember the courts, what they told me on the phone, and that's... 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. So you've been 7 told by your attorney and by the court that your record 8 9 would be sealed, or that --MR. WEST: That it, that it lifted and -- yes, 10 over with, after a two-year period from when it 11 happened. 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Steve, did he list any 1.3 of this; how much of this did he list on his 14 application? 15 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: He just listed the location 16 17 of his arrest, approximate, he would have a year, with question mark. He didn't remember the exact date. But 18 as I read earlier in the record, offense, no conviction 19 was the offense on one of the three arrests, no 2.0 conviction was what he stated as an offense on another 2.1 arrest, and no record was the offense he stated on the 22 third arrest. 2.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So the only legal 24 25 arrest we have, or the arrest we got is the one for

1	being a fugitive?
2	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: That's the one that shows
3	up on SCOPE, sir, Clark County, Nevada. And then the
4	FBI fingerprint reports is where I got the larceny and
5	theft charges from. I can read exactly what it says
6	here, if you would like.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Please do.
8	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: The history.
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Please.
10	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: 9-8-2005, Sheriff's Office,
11	Arapahoe County, Littleton, larceny, felony. Theft,
12	June 5th, 2000, \$515,000. Looks like CSP. Colorado
13	State Police would be my guess on CSP. And the other
14	one, forgery, felony, on November 14th, '05. Or I'm
15	sorry. June 5th, 2000, forgery, commercial checks,
16	felony.
17	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So those are the
18	down-and-dirty charges on the ones that have been
19	dismissed?
20	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Yes, sir.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you.
22	Any questions from the Board?
23	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: When was pardon me,
24	but when was this fugitive arrest, how long ago?
25	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: It was August 25th, 2005,

1 Las Vegas Metro. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Well, sir, I have a 2 question for you. Did you know you were a fugitive at 3 the time you were arrested? 4 MR. WEST: No, I didn't. That's the first time 5 I heard about it. They actually pulled me over for --6 well, they said running a stop sign. And then I was 7 taken in at that point. That's the first time I've 8 heard about it. 9 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Did you know that these 10 charges had not been taken care of at the time that you 11 were arrested? 1 2 MR. WEST: No, I didn't. And the whole, the 1.3 whole thing was -- it was a place that I worked 14 temporary. And I received checks from this company that 15 the doctor has to sign. And I don't know why they're 16 17 forgeries in there. But I had no access to that or even getting paid. So I mean it's just -- my lawyer and I 18 tried to figure all this out. And they decided to do it 19 the way they did it. 2.0 2.1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Your lawyer who told you that everything had been taken care 22 of, was that before the fugitive arrest? 2.3 MR. WEST: No. 24 25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That was after the

1	fugitive arrest when you went back?
2	MR. WEST: Yes, yes.
3	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
4	MR. WEST: Because I had to get a lawyer, and I
5	had to get one to help me out with the situation.
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Did you contact
7	him and ask him to write a letter or anything?
8	MR. WEST: No. I actually I didn't think
9	about that. But.
10	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What's his name?
11	MR. WEST: His first name is David, and I
12	have I do have his name at home, but I didn't even
13	think of that, either. His first name's David. He's
14	from Colorado. So.
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I was going to try to
16	get ahold of him. I can't do it with David.
17	MR. WEST: No, I know. I just didn't even
18	think about that. Peter Cleary is the one that helped
19	me write my new letter. He's the one that works here in
20	Las Vegas.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: The question is
22	whether, if we have any sufficient information to
23	indicate that he's not eligible. What do you think?
24	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Well, I'm prepared to
25	make a motion, but.

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Fine.
2	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. My motion is to
3	uphold the denial. And if there's a second, then I'll
4	go for discussion.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What?
6	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: To uphold the denial.
7	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Second.
8	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: My motion is to uphold
9	the denial.
10	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Second.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Discussion?
12	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: This is sort of like
13	Jell-O. And it's all nebulous. And I don't have any
14	reason to question Mr. West, but I think he needs to get
15	things squared away, get the court records squared away,
16	get all of these things squared away, and then we can
17	consider his application. But at this point, I'm not
18	prepared to see him registered.
19	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. We have a
20	motion and a second. All in favor, signify by saying
21	"aye."
22	(Board members said "aye.")
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Nay.
24	Mr. West, you're being denied a letter right
25	now, or at least the ability right now, because

everything is in such a quandary. Excuse me just a 1 second. 2 (There was a moment off the record.) 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Can you -- what 4 I would suggest that you do is get ahold of -- you don't 5 know his name, either. 6 MR. WEST: Well, I have the information at 7 So. I just didn't bring that information. home. 8 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: If you get the information, call and get the information, get a letter 10 saying that you have no charges, there was no conviction 11 on the charges that we talked about. And see if you can 12 just give him a list of that. If you come back with 1.3 that letter, then you can come back before us a second 14 time. And if everything checks out, then you'll be able 15 16 to go to work. 17 MR. WEST: Okay. Thank you. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Thank you. 18 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman? 19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes? 20 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Can we adjust the camera 2.1 for a wider view? The lady behind you is getting all of 22 the attention. 2.3 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Jeez, thanks. 24 25 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Star of the day.

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: How about this?
2	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Well, it was better before
3	that.
4	MS. RAY: Is that better?
5	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: That's much better, thanks.
6	(There was a brief period off the record.)
7	
8	AGENDA ITEM 7
9	RICHARD ROSAS
10	
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Until we can hear you
12	or see you, we're going to go ahead and proceed with
13	Mr. Richard Rosas.
14	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Mr. Rosas?
15	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Nobody coming forward.
16	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Put that one on
17	hold for now.
18	
19	AGENDA ITEM 8
20	DONTE MARTIN
21	
22	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Donte Martin.
23	MS. RAY: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Martin indicated
24	that he was not going to be present, but he did send me
25	an e-mail that if you had reviewed his letter and

information and feel that you want to proceed, you may. 1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Have you read the 2 letter? Did you read the letter? 3 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: On --4 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'm prepared to make a 5 motion, Mr. Chairman. 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. 7 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: If you want to discuss 8 9 it. I would uphold the denial. Because regardless of whether it's a CCW or carrying a concealed weapon or 10 not, it's still -- given -- according to his record, 11 it's -- but, again, there's weapons. It still involves 12 a weapons charge, according to his information. So I 1.3 would be --14 MS. RAY: Is this Mr. Martin? 15 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: This is Rosas. 16 MS. RAY: Oh. No. We already --17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, we already did 18 that. Donte Martin. 19 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. I apologize. 2.0 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Mr. Martin, are you 2.1 Oh, no, you're not. 22 there? MS. RAY: He's not. 2.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I knew that. 24 25 MS. RAY: He's not there.

BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We'll put him off 1 until later. Let's move on. Dennis Rippelmeyer. 2 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Mr. Chairman, what about 3 Donte Martin? 4 MS. RAY: He's the one that sent an e-mail 5 saying that you could proceed. 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I thought we were 7 looking for something. 8 MS. RAY: Okay. Mr. Martin -- you can ask 9 Steve about it, too. 10 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Is Martin here or not? 11 MS. RAY: No, he's not. 12 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: But he wants us to look 13 at his --14 MS. RAY: He wants you -- he said that he felt 15 that the information he provided would be sufficient for 16 17 you to proceed and make a decision. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Any questions? 18 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Let me read it. I'm 19 20 sorry. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'm ready for a motion 2.1 22 when you're ready. INVESTIGATOR JUPP: What's that? 2.3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I have a question for 24 25 staff, whether or not they -- they know whether or not

he had some sort of a hardship that he claimed so that 1 he -- his personal appearance couldn't be had today? 2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: She's busy right now. 3 She'll be with you in just a second, Mark. 4 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Oh. 5 (There was a brief period off the record.) 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We're going to hang up 7 on you. 8 9 MS. RAY: Do it now? BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, let's go ahead, 10 because he has a question for you. 11 MS. RAY: For me? 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. 13 (Recalling Las Vegas.) 14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, there we go. 15 Are you Mr. Rippelmeyer? 16 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Yes, sir. 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Could you 18 just wait a minute? We'll be right with you. 19 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Yes, sir. 2.0 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Back to Donte Martin, 2.1 22 you had a question, Mark? BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I was wondering if staff --2.3 did he claim any type of hardships for this lack of 24 25 appearance, or he just wanted to rest on the written

1	response?
2	MS. RAY: I believe, what he told me was he was
3	not going to be in town. He was out of town. Let's see
4	if I can find his e-mail.
5	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: What he says is he's
6	relocated, in his letter.
7	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Did Mr. Martin list these
8	arrests that he's talking about on his application?
9	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Sir, Steve again.
10	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Did Mr. Martin list these
11	arrests that he's talking about on his application?
12	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: He actually provided a copy
13	of his SCOPE to with his application, and they listed
14	the let me see obstructing, battery D.V.,
15	resisting an officer, obstructing an officer, pandering,
16	felony, furnishing transportation, prostitution, felony,
17	intent to sell drugs, trespass, resisting and false
18	info. Those are on his SCOPE.
19	However, his fingerprint report came back, and
20	I found he did not list on his application procuring a
21	child under 16 for lewd and lascivious, simple battery
22	of the infirm, and resisting an officer.
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Any convictions on
24	those listed?
25	INVESTIGATOR JUPP: No disposition listed on

1	those, sir.
2	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'm prepared to make a
3	motion.
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right.
5	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: My motion is to uphold
6	the denial. And if there was a second, then I'll
7	discuss my motion.
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Second.
9	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Second.
10	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And we have, we have his
11	letter that indicates certain information. We have our
12	FBI report that indicates information. He's not here to
13	further explain the circumstances. In that case, I
14	think the denial should be upheld.
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. All in favor,
16	signify by saying "aye."
17	(Board members said "aye.")
18	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed?
19	Hearing none, it goes.
20	
21	AGENDA ITEM 9
22	DENNIS RIPPELMEYER
23	
24	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right.
2 5	Mr Rippelmever thank you for waiting for us I'm

```
sorry to keep you there.
1
            MR. RIPPELMEYER:
                               Oh, no problem.
 2
            INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Investigator Roble for
 3
    Investigator Murphy.
 4
            Mr. Rippelmeyer was denied because he -- his
 5
   fingerprint results came back, and there were three
 6
   arrests that he did not list on his application:
 7
   1 of 1980 in Bellevue, Illinois, obstructing a peace
 8
   officer; 5 of '99 in Bellevue, Illinois, domestic
   battery; and 7 of '03 in Percy, Illinois, domestic
10
11
   battery.
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Mr. Rippelmeyer, were
12
   you convicted of any of those domestic battery cases?
1.3
            MR. RIPPELMEYER:
                               Sir, I was given, I think,
14
   like supervision on them. And, I believe, on the one, I
15
   believe, I paid a fine, but I don't want to say yes, and
16
17
   don't say no. It's been a long time. I don't remember.
            And the reason that I never listed them, sir,
18
   is I got my Nevada card when I first applied, and I
19
   didn't think that that was important. Matter of fact,
2.0
    the reason this come up, I was up for renewal, to get my
2.1
   renewal card this July, is when I expire, on June, I
22
   think, 26th. And I --
2.3
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What card is that,
24
25
   sir?
```

1	MR. RIPPELMEYER: Sir?
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What card are you
3	talking about?
4	MR. RIPPELMEYER: My guard card.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh.
6	MR. RIPPELMEYER: I had my guard card, and I
7	had it for all them years, through two thousand, I
8	believe, five, up until this year, when it expired in
9	June.
10	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: M-hm (affirmative).
11	MR. RIPPELMEYER: And I never I thought
12	that I never had anything after, so I didn't think
13	listing that was even on. But, yes, I did have this
14	back then. I'm not denying that. But I didn't think
15	that I thought everything was going routine, because
16	I was a guard for five or six years now.
17	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, I can understand
18	that.
19	MR. RIPPELMEYER: And I was up for my renewal
20	card, and that's what I reapplied for back then. And I
21	didn't come across that this was that great of
22	importance until recently.
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: But you were
24	convicted
25	MR. RIPPELMEYER: Sir?

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You were convicted of
2	spousal battery, then, or
3	MR. RIPPELMEYER: I never got a conviction on
4	it, no.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. What
6	MR. RIPPELMEYER: It was like a supervision
7	deal.
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
9	MR. RIPPELMEYER: Court supervision back there
10	in Illinois.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. I'm going
12	to have to tell you the same thing that we just told the
13	gentleman before, and that is if you can you need to
14	go you need to send a letter to the location where
15	this happened and get an explanation of the charge.
16	Were you convicted of it or not? I tend to think you
17	probably were, because they gave you, you know, the
18	assignments that they gave you. But if you do that,
19	then we can probably look at it again.
20	MR. RIPPELMEYER: Which ones are these I have
21	to call, go back to? Which?
22	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: The spousal battery.
23	MR. RIPPELMEYER: Excuse me?
24	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: The spousal battery.
25	MR. RIPPELMEYER: Okay. That was in what year?

INVESTIGATOR JUPP: 5 of '99 and then, also, 1 7 of '03. 2 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Fifth month of '99? 3 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Yes. 4 MR. RIPPELMEYER: 5 of '99. And what's that 5 6 charge? INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Domestic battery. 7 MR. RIPPELMEYER: What's the other charge? 8 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: 7-03, Percy, Illinois, 9 domestic battery. And then 1 of 1980 is in Bellevue, 10 obstructing a peace officer. 11 MR. RIPPELMEYER: In Belleville? 12 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Yeah. 1.3 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Okay. 7-03, Percy, and that 14 15 was battery? INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Domestic battery, yes. 16 MR. RIPPELMEYER: And what's -- what do they 17 have to say? 18 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Get the dispositions on 19 these three cases. 2.0 2.1 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Okay. 1980, obstructing a peace officer in Belleville. And domestic battery in 22 5 of '99, where was that at? 7-03 Percy. And what do I 2.3 have to have? 24 25 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Dispositions on these three

1 arrests. That's what happened; were you convicted, suspended sentence, whatever, whatever the disposition 2 was, whatever happened to you on the charges, dismissed 3 as -- or whatever. BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, could I ask 5 the fellow a question? 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Certainly. 7 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: What -- have you been 8 9 continuously employed as a security guard, employment, sir? 10 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Your Honor, I got the 11 security card back in 2005 here in the State of Nevada, 12 and I had it up to expiration date of this year; 1.3 June 26th, I believe. 14 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: And were you continuously 15 employed? 16 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Yes, I was. 17 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: With the same company or 18 different ones? 19 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Different companies. 20 2.1 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I mean were you employed as a registered employee up until the time the card 22 expired? 2.3 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Yes. 24 25 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. And you're -- so

from '95 until 2010, you had a metro-issued guard card? 1 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Yes, I did. 2 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: And then, when you came up 3 for expiration, you then made application here? 4 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Yes, I did. 5 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: If I understand your 6 testimony, you felt that you didn't have to put down 7 everything because you had already qualified with a 8 quard card? MR. RIPPELMEYER: You're exactly right, sir. 10 11 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Mr. Chairman, I have a 12 motion. 1.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. 14 MR. RIPPELMEYER: The only reason I don't have 15 my expired guard card today is, as of July 2nd of this 16 17 year, I was guarding a post on security, and I was robbed, and they took my guard card. That's the only 18 reason I don't have my expired card. 19 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Mr. Chairman, I move that 20 the registration denial for Dennis Rippelmeyer be 2.1 22 upheld. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I have a motion. Do I 2.3 hear a second? 24 25 I'll second for discussion.

Jim, do you have something on this? 1 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Well -- thank you, 2 Mr. Chairman. I'm just -- I've got, I guess, a real 3 dilemma. And that is, he's already had a card. 4 criminal history has already been run. I understand, 5 and, you know, there's just a lot of dynamics here. But 6 the fact of the matter is that he's had the criminal 7 history, he was issued a card. Granted, it was under a 8 9 different licensing process. I mean subsequent to his having been issued the card by metro, the registration 10 11 process has changed. And I would expect that we're going to be 12 encountering a variety of these where there is a 13 different level of scrutiny and decision-making on what 14 was a disqualifier and what wasn't. 15 And so the fact that all of this, all of this 16 17 stuff happened prior to him having been issued a card previously, is a real struggle for me. You know, and 18 he's had no arrests that I'm aware. He's had no arrests 19 since subsequent to -- however he got it, subsequent to 20 2.1 getting that card, he's had no arrests. I'm just, I'm really struggling with this. 22 And domestic violence is not a disqualifier in and of 2.3 itself. It's a disqualifier for carrying a weapon, but. 24 25 So, I guess, that's my difficulty. And I don't know

1 that I can support the motion. All right. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I don't 2 disagree with you. I think -- I was just discussing 3 with counsel that -- and we'll discuss it later. We've 4 got some problems like this to overcome. We have no 5 violation. We have nothing that confirms a violation. 6 You know, all we have is the -- all we have is the old 7 history, or the old arrest side of the FBI report and 8 nothing on the dispo. Which is probably -- I don't know 9 how high that goes, but probably over 70 percent of that 10 11 happens. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'm sure Mark might have 12 1.3 some comments, too. BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I do, Mr. Chairman. 14 issue is, is although there is a technical issue here 15 that he failed to list the -- on the form that we 16 17 require, I think that there's mitigation, in the fact that these particular issues were before he was issued 18 the metro quard card. And that since that point in time 19 and possibly from -- you know, in the public's interest, 2.0 I see nothing of a criminal nature or any type of 2.1 22 derogatory record since he's been employed in the state. And I think, based upon the fact that he worked up until 2.3 the time and didn't have any negative actions coming 24 25 towards the Board, that I think that if there is a

benefit of the doubt here in this particular situation, 1 it should go to the appellant. 2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, I tend to agree 3 with you. I would have to remove my second. Do you 4 have --5 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Or we can vote on it, we 6 can vote on the motion. And then, if it fails, we 7 can --8 9 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: No. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: It's going to be tied. 10 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Removes the second. 11 would just like to comment, though, that one of the 12 things we have to be, I think, cautious of is if, in 1.3 fact, that first card was issued in error, then this 14 Board has a responsibility to correct that error. 15 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And I agree with you. 16 But, again, going back to my days with the federal 17 agency, is that what we're faced with here, and on 18 almost all of these that Steve is showing us, is that we 19 have the arrest factor on the FBI report, and we have no 2.0 disposition. We have no disposition because the party 2.1 22 that, the county that entered the arrest does not follow up and enter the disposition on the case. 2.3 So we're deciding the fate of some of these 24 25 people without any confirmation, except if the person

wants to give it himself, without any confirmation that 1 there is any kind of disposition other than dismissal. 2 And I tend -- and I don't tend to agree; I do 3 agree with Jim's survey of the issue. Because we don't 4 have, on these, we don't have what's necessary. 5 MR. RIPPELMEYER: It was 2005 when I got my 6 quard card. 7 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I think we have to draw a 8 9 line in the sand that the question on the application does not distinguish how long ago the arrest was. 10 other words, if the arrest was in '99 and '98 , I think 11 we have to draw a line in the sand. If you've ever been 12 arrested, that's the question. So, therefore, a person 1.3 needs to be -- respond truthfully to that. And if 14 subsequent -- because there's no time limit. So when 15 subsequent information comes forward that a person had 16 17 an arrest, and they were untruthful on the application, then that's -- that's a circumstance. 18 But, number one, we don't have the application 19 that Mr. Rippelmeyer gave to metro. And that's number 20 2.1 one. And, number two, regardless of his application or the subsequent criminal history, he was issued a card. 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. 2.3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Again, that wasn't our 24 25 decision. We have to make decisions on our own. But,

so, but I think the fact that -- we need to draw the 1 hard line that if someone is not -- doesn't complete the 2 application, fails to provide information, then 3 that's -- that's a right for denial. 4 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: M-hm (affirmative). 5 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: But in Mr. Rippelmeyer's 6 case, I think there's -- you know, there are extenuating 7 circumstances. 8 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And then we'll have to remember that if he does list the arrests, they may have 10 11 no convictions listed; then we're right back where we started from. 12 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Right. And even if it 1.3 was a conviction, those two arrests would not be a 14 disqualifier for -- at least historically it hasn't been 15 a disqualifier for issuance. 16 So, I quess, again, we have to deal with each 17 one of these circumstances individually. And so that if 18 you're -- I don't know if the motion was withdrawn or --19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Did you want to --2.0 MS. RAY: The second was withdrawn. 2.1 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Now I will withdraw the 22 motion. 2.3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. And I would move 24 25 that we -- that we not sustain the denial. I don't know

1 the appropriate terminology. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Deny the denial. 2 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. That we not 3 sustain the denial and that Mr. Rippelmeyer be issued 4 his card. 5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Second. All in favor, 6 signify by saying "aye." 7 (Board members said "aye.") 8 9 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Opposed. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What's that? 10 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Opposed. 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed? 12 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: 13 Nay. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Three to one. 14 Mr. Rippelmeyer, you can go back to work. 15 MR. RIPPELMEYER: Yes, sir. Thank you, sir. 16 I appreciate it. How do I go about getting my card? 17 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Call the P.I. Board 18 offices. 19 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: I'll take care of it 20 2.1 tomorrow. MS. RAY: It's actually being taken care of 22 right now. 2.3 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Okay. Thank you. 24 25 INVESTIGATOR JUPP: Come by, come by the P.I.

1	Board office tomorrow in Las Vegas.
2	
3	AGENDA ITEM 10
4	BRANDI LEACH
5	
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Brandi Leach.
7	MS. LEACH: Right here.
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Good morning.
9	MS. LEACH: Good morning.
10	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: How are you?
11	MS. LEACH: I'm fine. How are you?
12	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'm pretty good.
13	Whose case is this?
14	MS. RAY: I believe, this is
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Nick, is this your
16	case?
17	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Are we on Brandi Leach?
18	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes.
19	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Investigator Roble, for
20	Investigator Murphy again.
21	Brandi Leach was denied because her FBI
22	fingerprint results came back: 9 of '95, Mineral
23	County, Nevada, felony, possession of a controlled
24	substance, slash, DUI; 7 of '97, Mineral County, Nevada,
25	domestic battery; 8 of '98, Mineral County, Nevada,

battery, domestic violence, pled guilty. She did have 1 some arrests and convictions listed on her application. 2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You have how many 3 convictions listed on the FBI report? Or is that what 4 you were reading from? INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: This is Investigator 6 Murphy's notes. 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, okay. 8 9 INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: And I have, I have a copy of the fingerprint returns. And, again, there's no 10 11 dispositions on some of these, so. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, we have a plea, 12 1.3 too. INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Well, that was for a 14 domestic violence in 8 of '98. 15 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. 16 INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: I think, our concern would 17 be the felony possession of controlled substance and if 18 there was a felony DUI involved, too. 19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Do you 20 want to tell us about those? 2.1 MS. LEACH: Yes, sir. I actually brought the 22 dispositions of those cases here today. I was -- I was 2.3 not -- I do not have a felony conviction on my record. 24 25 And --

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You do?
2	MS. LEACH: I do not.
3	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You do not?
4	MS. LEACH: No, I do not. I was charged with
5	unlawful use of a controlled substance.
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: M-hm (affirmative).
7	MS. LEACH: And the reason I didn't put these
8	two from '95 and '98 on my application is because the
9	past four years I have worked for a federal
10	installation, and they've required me to get clearances
11	from the federal government. And in those clearance
12	paperworks, they ask you to go back 10 years. And I
13	think I was kind of arrogant; when I filled out the
14	paperwork, I didn't really read it. I just wrote down
15	everything for the past 10 years. I really didn't mean
16	to try to exclude the information from '95 to '98. But
17	I did do that.
18	I'm just asking for your mercy. I need my job.
19	And I will put on the paperwork and would have if I
20	understood that it was longer than a 10-year period that
21	the PILB was asking for.
22	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Unfortunately, that's
23	becoming a rule rather than anything else, is people
24	asking for seven to 10 years rather than anything else.
25	Does the conviction fall within that? When was

1 your conviction? MS. LEACH: Oh, I had the conviction for 2 internal use of a controlled substance in 1995 and then 3 a battery with a deadly weapon with substantial bodily 4 harm in 1998. And --5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You're not afraid to 6 get into it, then? 7 MS. LEACH: Well, this is what was happening. 8 9 I invited somebody over to my house. And he got violent, and he was beating me, and he tried to drag me 10 out of my house by my hair. And on the way past my 11 kitchen sink, I grabbed a knife. I cut his arm. And he 12 let me go. And that's why it wasn't more severe. But 1.3 the judge had to do something, you know. 14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Had he ever heard of a 15 defendant's defending himself? 16 MS. LEACH: Huh? 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: The judge hadn't heard 18 about self-defense? 19 MS. LEACH: Well, sure, but he'd also warned me 20 to stay away from the person twice. 2.1 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. MS. LEACH: That person has two previous 2.3 convictions of domestic violence. 24 25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Questions from the

1	Board?
2	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chair?
3	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes.
4	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: The battery with
5	substantial bodily harm, is that a gross misdemeanor?
6	MS. LEACH: It was a oh. It'll take me just
7	a second.
8	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Sure. Take your time.
9	MS. LEACH: It was a misdemeanor conviction,
10	and I pled no contest.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And when, when was
12	that?
13	MS. LEACH: In 1998.
14	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. How old were
15	you?
16	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: May we see, may we see
17	the court documents?
18	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Sure.
19	MS. LEACH: Okay. There's also these others
20	that I did. I did write on the application.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
22	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And the possession of
23	controlled substance or under the influence, is that
24	also here?
25	MS. LEACH: Yes.

1 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: And I may ask, you worked for ATF for four years? 2 MS. LEACH: Yes, that is correct. I had an ATF 3 and an NAC clearance. Yes. And on their paperwork, 4 that's what they asked for, is the past 10 years. And 5 when I got those, I didn't list those, because it wasn't 6 within that 10-year time period. As time grew on, it 7 came out of that period. 8 9 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Tammy, can you read these fairly well? I'm looking at this one where it says 10 "unlawful use of controlled substance." And it appears 11 to be, to me, that that's a felony. And the DUI, drugs 12 and the child in the vehicle were both misdemeanors, and 1.3 they were dismissed. 14 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Let me see this. 15 MS. LEACH: I intended to bring the paperwork. 16 17 I was put on probation for three years and honorably discharged in 1998. I can bring the paperwork that 18 shows it was not a felony. I don't have a felony on my 19 record. 2.0 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: So upon completion of the 2.1 three years probation, was it then -- was that 22 considered deferred sentencing? Do you know what I'm 2.3 talking about? 24 25 MS. LEACH: No, I don't.

1	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: In other words, you
2	weren't actually sentenced until such time as you
3	completed the three years probation, and then they
4	reduced it down to a misdemeanor or something. Do you
5	know if that's how it was?
6	MS. LEACH: No. I was just straight-out
7	charged with internal possession of a controlled
8	substance and put on probation for three years with a
9	suspended commitment.
10	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: But you pled nolo to
11	that, right?
12	MS. LEACH: No, I pled guilty to it, you know,
13	because I had smoked marijuana with my cousin, and I
14	wasn't going to lie to them and tell them I didn't.
15	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: No contest was the
16	battery and a deadly weapon, right?
17	MS. LEACH: Yes, that's correct.
18	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: How old were you?
19	MS. LEACH: I was 19 when I smoked the
2 0	marijuana, and I think I was 23 22 with the battery.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'm thinking you're
22	about that age now.
23	MS. LEACH: I will be a grandmother in a couple
2 4	weeks. I'm 36.
25	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: The charge in 1997, the

domestic battery, and then the '98 domestic violence, 1 are those one and the same, or are those two separate? 2 MS. LEACH: Yes, they're the same thing. 3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okav. 4 MS. LEACH: I've been charged twice. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: 6 Okay. MS. LEACH: I have that one, that one. 7 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. Sorry we're not 8 9 sharing this, Mark. We're kind of looking at these disposition records. 10 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I'm following along. 11 MS. LEACH: I have no idea if this would have 12 any bearing on the case, but I do have letters of 1.3 reference from my employers, from both managers of the 14 department I work for. And it kind of does tell about 15 16 my personality. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Let's see. 17 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Are you working at the 18 19 depot? MS. LEACH: Yes. As of October, when they got 20 the new contract, it requires us to get licensed with 2.1 the PILB. 22 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Is this a different 2.3 company that now has new contracts, or was it just a 24 25 contract renewal?

1	MS. LEACH: Contract renewal.
2	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. So you've worked
3	for the same company for the four years that you've
4	worked out there?
5	MS. LEACH: Yes. It's actually called Day
6	Zimmerman Hawthorne Corporation, but they go under the
7	subtitle of S.O.C.L.L.C.
8	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chair, can I ask
9	Thoran if he has any insight into these records?
0	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Certainly.
.1	MR. TOWLER: I've looked over these. It's
2	difficult to tell. You know, there's no clear
L 3	disposition. The one, I think, we've addressed is the
L <b>4</b>	battery with a deadly weapon with substantial bodily
L 5	harm. But that states it was dropped to a misdemeanor.
L 6	The other is the question we have, is the
. 7	felony unlawful use of a controlled substance. It
. 8	doesn't clearly say it does clearly say it's a
9	felony. I think, I heard her state that it was
2 0	marijuana. That was the issue. It's common for those
21	to be dropped, especially with a first-time offense, to
22	an ITS, to a drug use, but there's just not enough here
23	to show. It says on here that the misdemeanors were
2 4	being dismissed, but it also states that the drug charge
25	was a felony. You know, and like I stated, it's common

25

for the first one to be dropped to a misdemeanor. 1 But it doesn't say what happened. 2 So that's all the insight I have for you on 3 that. It's not documentation, for me, that shows what 4 happened for sure. 5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What county was this 6 in? 7 MS. LEACH: Mineral County, the only county I 8 9 ever got in trouble. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman, is there 10 any -- do we have any provision for requesting for 11 tabling this until our next meeting? 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Certainly. 1.3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: To -- pending maybe 14 getting some more specific court disposition 15 information? 16 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Certainly. MS. LEACH: Thank you. 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And we do request it, 19 then. 2.0 2.1 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'm sorry? BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And we'll have staff 22 request that. 2.3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Or we can have her 24 25 produce it from the court, which --

1	MS. LEACH: I can, yes.
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, and I would just
3	make a motion.
4	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: So moved.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I second it.
6	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Okay. Now, but I would
7	like to make a comment before the vote.
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Sure.
9	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: I would suspect that
10	since she has been working for a federal agency, that
11	the federal agency has already looked into this.
12	Because, just because they only ask for the last 10
13	years doesn't mean they didn't investigate everything.
14	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes. Yeah, that's
15	probably true.
16	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: So, you know, I suspect
17	that. But, again, you know, what I suspect and what we
18	have to know are two different things.
19	MS. LEACH: Right. I'd be happy to provide
20	that information.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You're saying that the
22	drug charge was a misdemeanor?
23	MS. LEACH: Yes.
24	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Would you want to go a
25	step farther?

1	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'm sorry?
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I said would you want
3	to go a step farther?
4	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: And recommend that the
5	appeal be
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'm pretty sure what
7	she's saying is she needs to be working and providing
8	for
9	Do you have any children?
10	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: All right.
11	MS. LEACH: I have three children.
12	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Well, I move that
13	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman?
14	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes.
15	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Would it be appropriate if
16	that if for say, we were leaning to overturning
17	it, based upon the fact that we find that this only one
18	question exists, and that's the level of conviction,
19	would it be appropriate to overturn based upon a finding
20	that that was the case, and this ended up being a
21	misdemeanor, so that we're not putting this off for
22	three months?
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Say that one more
24	time.
25	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Is it would it be

appropriate to -- if the Board was of the mind to, to 1 overturn the denial based upon receipt of evidence that 2 this conviction was a misdemeanor? 3 MR. TOWLER: You do have the ability to make 4 such a motion. The problem is, you know, in a perfect 5 world, it's great, you can say, hey, as long as the 6 employee is -- as long as the executive director finds 7 documentation, or as long as the investigator shows that 8 9 this was actually a misdemeanor, then, you know, she's got her work card. 10 But the problem is there's this gray area of 11 what happens when we can't get the documentation, or 12 just think of all the problems that could go wrong. 1.3 Then that is where we come into problems. So it's 14 definitely up to the Board. The Board has that ability. 15 But I've seen a lot of problems caused by making those 16 17 types of rulings. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Is there anything --18 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: 19 Thank you. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: -- that would prohibit 20 us making a phone call? 2.1 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: We'll make a phone call 22 to the Mineral County court. 2.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Would you mind doing 24 25 that?

1	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: In Hawthorne.
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Did you get everything
3	you need?
4	MS. WHATLEY: I'll take the paper.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You got her name and
6	everything?
7	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chair, I'll withdraw
8	my motion for the moment.
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. We'll put you
10	on hold for a minute and get back to you.
11	Okay. Yeah, let's take a break.
12	* * * *
13	(A break was taken, 10:42 to 11:10 a.m.)
14	* * * *
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. We can proceed.
16	Rather than waste everyone's time, as far as
17	rescheduling Brandi to come back in again, staff made a
18	telephone call. And she has not been convicted of a
19	felony. She's been convicted of a gross misdemeanor in
20	connection with her charge, which puts a whole new light
21	on it. Which I will open
22	Why don't you come on up.
23	MS. LEACH: Yes, sir.
24	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'll open it for
25	questions or anything else you might want to do.

1	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: No questions,
2	Mr. Chairman.
3	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I have no questions.
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Do you want to make a
5	motion?
6	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Mr. Chairman, I would
7	like to move that the registration pardon me that
8	Brandi Leach be allowed to register.
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Second.
10	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Discussion?
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Discussion.
12	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman, in my view,
13	Ms. Leach has worked for S.O.C. for four years and was
14	required to go through a background check at that time.
15	Even if at that time it required a 10-year history, that
16	would have been that would have captured for that
17	background at that time, it would have, probably have
18	captured all three of these circumstances. Because that
19	was, what, four years ago, which would have been 2006.
20	MS. LEACH: Yes.
21	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: For the most part, I
22	think it would have probably captured the more serious
23	offense. So my concern is, is she's been working in
24	that field with a previous background check. And though
25	I think some of these are a concern, these charges, I

1 will support the motion. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. I appreciate 2 it. All in favor, signify --3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mark. 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Mark, do you have any 5 questions? 6 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: No, sir. 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. I have a 8 9 motion. Did you hear the motion? BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I did. 10 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. All in favor, signify by saying "aye." 12 (Board members said "aye.") 1.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed? 14 Go to work. But, listen, I don't ever want to 15 see you in here again. And I'm going to tell you just 16 17 the same thing your mom told you. No, I'm on the I probably better not. 18 record. MS. LEACH: And how do I -- where do I --19 MS. RAY: I'm fixing your record so you can do 20 a new printout, and you'll get your card soon. 2.1 22 MS. LEACH: Thank you. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Merry Christmas. 2.3 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yes. 24 25 MS. LEACH: Merry Christmas.

1	AGENDA ITEM 11
2	JOHN FONTENETTE
3	
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Moving
5	among, John Fontenette.
6	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: He's here.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Is he there?
8	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Yes.
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
10	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: John Fontenette was denied
11	for falsification on his application, according to
12	Investigator Murphy. He had a 03 of '09 arrest in North
13	Las Vegas for possession of a controlled substance,
14	methamphetamine, felony; 5 of '09, North Las Vegas,
15	possession of controlled substance, methamphetamine;
16	7 of '10, in Las Vegas, probation violation and
17	tampering with a vehicle; and 8 of '10, in Las Vegas,
18	for possession of a controlled substance.
19	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And those are
20	convictions?
21	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: There's no disposition on
22	the one of the dispositions on possession of
23	controlled substance was dismissed. I don't know about
24	the second one. And the disposition on the tamper with
25	vehicle and probation violation, there's not one in

1 SCOPE. So I don't know what the disposition on those charges are. 2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Mr. Fontenette, how 3 are you today? 4 MR. FONTENETTE: I'm doing good. How are you 5 doing, Your Honor? 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. What can 7 you tell me about those charges? 8 9 MR. FONTENETTE: Well, one of the charges with the meth, it was dropped. Another one, they put me on a 10 two-year probation, which I was dealing with, and I 11 caught this other tampering with a vehicle charge. 12 and my girlfriend had got into it. She called the 1.3 police, told them I broke into one of her cars. 14 told me I was tampering with a vehicle without the 15 owner's consent. That violated on my probation. 16 17 when I went to court on the first one, the tampering with the vehicle, they offered me three months. I went 18 ahead and took that, Your Honor. 19 When I went to court on the violation charge, 20 the judge noticed that I have never been arrested in 43 2.1 22 years whatsoever. These two charges are the only charges that I have ever been charged with in my life, 2.3 Your Honor. By him going over my record and seeing 24 25 that, he -- because I was looking at, I guess, nine

months. But he took 146 days off that for me. So I 1 wound up doing just 91 days in jail for, basically, both 2 of them. 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 91 days? 4 MR. FONTENETTE: 91 days, sir. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Questions? 6 MR. FONTENETTE: He took off 164 days for good 7 time already served. I guess, because he seen I never 8 9 had a record, you know. I've been working security for all my life. You know, I came here in 2005 from 10 California and have been working for, you know, 11 different security guard companies. And the little 12 incident that I got myself into just kind of recently 1.3 is, basically, the only thing that I've ever been in 14 trouble for, ever, in my 43 years. 15 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Over a girl, huh? 16 MR. FONTENETTE: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. Yeah, me 17 and her was going through some bad times, and one thing 18 kind of led to another. And, but everything has been 19 corrected. And I'm doing real good right now, Your 20 Honor. You know, I got almost six months clean and 2.1 22 sober, no drinks, no nothing. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You don't have to call 2.3 me Your Honor. I'm not a judge. 24 25 MR. FONTENETTE: Oh, sorry about, that that,

1 sir. Sorry about that. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So that sentence you 2 have is a misdemeanor? 3 MR. FONTENETTE: Yes. The drug charge was 4 dropped to a gross misdemeanor, and tampering with a 5 vehicle is a misdemeanor. 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Questions from the 7 Board? 8 9 MR. FONTENETTE: Yes, sir. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: For the investigator. 10 11 But none of these were listed on his application? INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: According to Investigator 12 Murphy's notes, some were not listed on the application. 1.3 I don't have access to the application, but what I think 14 might have happened is he did list maybe two of the 15 charges, not realizing that maybe that the third one 16 17 was -- should have been included. I'm not really sure. Because there are three possession of a controlled 18 substance charges and the probation violation. I don't 19 know if he -- how he listed those. I could research 2.0 2.1 that. 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So they all have the same incident? 2.3 INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: No. No. These are three 24 25 different incidents of possession of a controlled

1 substance. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And as I recall, the 2 dates were --3 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: July. 4 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: One was '06? There was 5 some in '09 and then '10? I'm sorry. The dates are the 6 ones I'm having trouble with. 7 INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: The dates are 3 of '09 in 8 9 North Las Vegas. That's possession of methamphetamine. 5 of '09, possession of methamphetamine. And then 8 of 10 10', possession of a controlled substance. It didn't 11 say what that controlled substance was. 12 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. But what about --1.3 MR. FONTENETTE: Excuse me, sir. 14 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Just a second. 15 about tampering with a motor vehicle? 16 17 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: 7-10. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I know when that 18 happened. But was that listed? Do you know if he 19 listed that on his application? 2.0 2.1 MR. FONTENETTE: Yes. Yes, I did. INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: I don't know. 22 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. 2.3 INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: But I have the notes, and 24 25 I also have the SCOPE printout and the denial letter.

So I would have to have access to the original 1 application to find that out. 2 MS. RAY: I'm trying to open it. 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We're trying to open 4 it here on the computer. 5 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: So, I quess, my question 6 of the appellant is why didn't he list all the other 7 arrests? 9 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yeah. Excuse me, sir. MR. FONTENETTE: 10 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Particularly since --11 MR. FONTENETTE: It was only, it was only two 12 drugs charges and tampering with a vehicle. I have no 13 third drug charge. It was only two. One was dropped. 14 I was charged with one and tampering with a vehicle. On 15 the paper I put down tampering with a vehicle without 16 17 owner's consent, and I put down the drug charge. When they sent me the paperwork, they said -- what I did say 18 is that I was on probation. And that's what they got me 19 And I put that I was on probation. And five times 2.0 they add up, from what they told me, on the paperwork 2.1 that was sent back to me. 22 But I put the drug charge and tampering with 2.3 the vehicle on my application. 24 25 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: How many, how many times

1	were you arrested for drugs?
2	MR. FONTENETTE: Just once, sir. When I was
3	arrested twice, I was all O.R.'d, but they only charged
4	me with possession one time.
5	And I mean it wasn't a you know, it wasn't a
6	great amount. I was kind of messing with it at the
7	time. But like I say, I've been six months clean and
8	sober, no drugs, no alcohol whatsoever. Went ahead and
9	did my three months, and they took me off probation. So
10	I'm no longer on probation whatsoever.
11	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Because
12	MR. FONTENETTE: I have dealt with a few
13	security guard companies. And they wanted to bring me
14	in; but they said without my guard card, you know, there
15	is nothing they can do right now for me.
16	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Pardon me, sir. I have a
17	question for you, too.
18	MS. FONTENETTE: Yes, sir.
19	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: This indicates an arrest
20	for probation violation on in July of this year.
21	MR. FONTENETTE: Right.
22	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Which was not listed on
23	your application. An arrest for a probation violation.
24	MR. FONTENETTE: Probation violation, right.
25	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yes.

MR. FONTENETTE: I -- I think, I did not put 1 that on there. But as far as for the drugs, the drug 2 charge of the meth and the vehicle tampering, that was 3 on the application. 4 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: And, further, the records 5 also show that you got an original sentence of nine 6 months at the Clark County Detention Center, which was 7 imposed based upon that probation violation. And then 8 9 they gave you 146 days credit for time served, because it was concurrent with this car situation. Right? 10 11 MR. FONTENETTE: Correct, sir. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: So we're talking about 12 your most recent arrest, sir, in July, July of this 1.3 year? 14 MR. FONTENETTE: Yes, sir. 15 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: One of those is for a 16 17 probation violation? MR. FONTENETTE: Yes. Yes, probation 18 violation. 19 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: And you were sentenced 20 nine months for that but given credit for time served? 2.1 22 MR. FONTENETTE: Yeah. Altogether, when I went to court on the second one, the judge gave me -- he 2.3 granted me 58 days plus the time I already had in, which 24 25 was 33 days. So altogether, it came to 91 days, sir.

BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: M-hm (affirmative). 1 MR. FONTENETTE: Which I did in Clark County. 2 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: I understand. 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Mark, do you have any 4 questions? 5 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: No, sir. 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Give me just a few 7 minutes here. 8 9 (There was a pause while Board members looked 10 at their computers.) BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Sir, I have another 11 question for you. When did -- when did you finish up 12 this time that you were given in July? When did you get 1.3 out of jail? 14 MR. FONTENETTE: I was released October, 15 October the 9th. 16 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). 17 MR. FONTENETTE: October the 9th of this year, 18 19 sir. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: So you've been out of 20 jail two months? 2.1 22 MR. FONTENETTE: Yes, sir. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: M-hm (affirmative). 23 Well, thank you. 24 25 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: We can't pull it up.

1	MS. RAY: Well, I was able to look at a
2	notation. And it appears that the application was
3	entered by staff, and there's a notation, arrest
4	history, that lists a 7-9 of 2010 arrest, but then
5	there's a notation that said none listed on application.
6	So I don't know if they put that in when they
7	got the information, when Colin did the background or
8	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'm prepared to make a
9	motion. If maybe Mark wants to make a motion, and
10	Mark wants discussion, but.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Any further
12	questions? I'll entertain a motion.
13	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Mr. Chairman, I move that
14	the application and the denial of the application for
15	registration of John Fontenette be upheld.
16	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'll second.
17	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. I have a motion
18	and a second. All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
19	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Do you want discussion?
20	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, discussion.
21	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I mean my support of the
22	motion is that I appreciate the fact that he's completed
23	his probation and he's working, but it needs time and
24	distance. And these things are all this year. I just
25	can't see issuing a license at this particular time

```
based on that, those issues.
1
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Do you have
 2
   anything?
 3
            BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yeah. Mr. Fontenette,
 4
   I'd like to -- I'd like you to know that my reason for
 5
   my motion is that, you know, you've been out of jail for
 6
   60 days. Okay. And I wish you the best of luck in
 7
   getting your life back together. But I'm afraid that,
 8
   in my mind, this Board cannot authorize you to be issued
   a card.
10
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I have a motion and a
11
   second. All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
12
            (Board members said "aye.")
1.3
            Opposed?
14
            Hearing none, we'll move on.
15
            MR. FONTENETTE: All right.
16
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Mr. Fontenette?
17
            MR. FONTENETTE: Yes, sir?
18
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You can reapply after
19
20
   a year.
2.1
            MR. FONTENETTE: Oh, don't worry about it, Your
   Honor.
22
   ///
2.3
   ///
24
25
   ///
```

1	AGENDA ITEM 12
2	WALTER DERIOUS SMITH
3	
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Walter Derious Smith.
5	MR. SMITH: I'd like this to be closed.
6	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: The fellow would like to
7	have a closed meeting.
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. I have a motion
9	for a closed meeting.
10	* * * *
11	(A closed session of the meeting was held, after which
12	the meeting was then opened back up to the public and
13	resumed as follows.)
14	* * * *
15	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. We're back on.
16	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. We'll open
17	where were we? All right. We've finished with this.
18	Barring, or not seeing any further questions, I'll
19	consider a motion.
20	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman?
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?
22	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I'd move, I'd move that the
23	license denial for Walter Derious Smith be reversed and
24	he be issued a work card.
25	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Second.

```
BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. I have a
1
 2
   motion and a second. All in favor, signify by saying
    "aye."
 3
             (Board members said "aye.")
 4
             Opposed?
 5
             There you go, sir. You made a --
 6
7
            MR. SMITH: Thank you.
 8
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You made a great
9
   presentation.
            MR. SMITH: I longed for it. Thank you, sir,
10
   Mr. Chairman.
11
12
                         AGENDA ITEM 13
13
                           KYRON WEBB
14
15
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Kyron
16
   Webb.
17
            BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Nobody's responding,
18
   Mr. Chairman.
19
           BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Fly him for a
20
   bit.
2.1
   ///
22
   ///
23
24
   ///
25
   ///
```

1	AGENDA ITEM 14
2	GREGG RAMIREZ
3	
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Gregg Ramirez.
5	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Gregg Ramirez?
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes.
7	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Nobody's responding,
8	Mr. Chairman.
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Same with him.
10	
11	AGENDA ITEM 15
12	JOHNNY SANCHEZ
13	
14	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Johnny Sanchez?
15	MR. SANCHEZ: Right here.
16	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: He's here.
17	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Mr. Sanchez was denied by
18	Investigator Murphy for not listing an arrest on his
19	application, when he filled out the application, of his
20	application. The first arrest was in 4 of 1980 in
21	Las Vegas, obstructing a police officer. In 6 of '81,
22	Las Vegas, weapon in an auto; 9 of 1983 in Las Vegas,
23	petit larceny; and 8 of '86 in North Las Vegas, assault
24	with a deadly weapon.
25	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: What year was that?

1	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Pardon me?
2	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: What year was that last
3	one?
4	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: 8 of 1986.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Good morning,
6	Mr. Sanchez.
7	MR. SANCHEZ: Good morning. How are you?
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Good. Or is it
9	afternoon?
10	MS. RAY: Not yet.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Not yet.
12	Were any of those felonies?
13	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Well, the assault with a
14	deadly weapon is a felony charge. And according to
15	SCOPE, there were no charges filed on that, on that
16	case.
17	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. And how many
18	did you say he was there was a failure to list an
19	arrest?
20	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: These, these, this is the
21	reason he was denied, is because he did not list these
22	on his application. The petit larceny was dismissed.
23	The assault with a deadly weapon, no charges were filed.
24	Weapon in an auto, I think, that's normally that's a
25	misdemeanor charge. And I don't see any disposition in

SCOPE. Oh, I take that back. It was in North 1 Las Vegas, and there's -- it was -- there's no 2 disposition on that. And the obstructing a police 3 officer was dismissed. 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Mr. Sanchez? 5 MR. SANCHEZ: Yes, sir? 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Why in the world 7 didn't you list those? 8 9 MR. SANCHEZ: Okay. First of all, I borrowed the money to try to do this. And I used my buddy's 10 computer to try to get all these. And, apparently, 11 these were all dropped. I know they were. And I 12 couldn't -- they wouldn't come up. The only thing that 1.3 would come up was traffic violations. 14 So the way I was thinking, it was an honest 15 mistake. I figured, since they dropped them, and it 16 17 didn't come up, that it was like wiped out. It was just an honest mistake. I had nothing to hide. 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. 19 MR. SANCHEZ: I didn't know. These are over 24 20 years old, and I figured that they were just wiped out. 21 Because I was working at the Vegas Club in July and 22 August, security, and I passed there. And I'm able to 2.3 purchase firearms. And so I figured everything was 24 25 wiped out. And it was just a misunderstanding. And I'm

1	asking you to pardon this.
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. When an
3	application like that says list them, list them.
4	MR. SANCHEZ: Yes, I understand that now.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Those, are you saying
6	that all those charges were dismissed?
7	MR. SANCHEZ: Yes.
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You have no
9	convictions?
10	MR. SANCHEZ: No convictions. I didn't have
11	any problems from the firearms I purchased. I have no
12	convictions.
13	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
14	MR. SANCHEZ: And I have a character witness
15	letter.
16	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
17	MR. SANCHEZ: From my pastor.
18	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Why don't
19	you give it to the gentleman back there.
20	Board questions?
21	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I have none.
22	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I have none.
23	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: (Shook head.)
24	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Do you have
25	anything?

1	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: No.
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Could I have a motion?
3	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman?
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?
5	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I'd I think we're
6	talking over each other. Did you say go ahead?
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I said I asked for
8	a motion.
9	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. I make a motion that
10	we we reverse the denial and issue the card.
11	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Second.
12	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Motion and second.
13	All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
14	(Board members said "aye.")
15	Opposed?
16	None. It passes.
17	MR. SANCHEZ: Thank you very much.
18	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman?
19	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?
20	Hold on just a second, Mr. Sanchez.
21	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I was just thinking, did
22	you want to tell Mr. Sanchez that any future application
23	he fills out for the PILB, these should be included; and
24	if not, that's subject to denial in the future?
25	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You're going to

1	probably run into this again in the future.
2	MR. SANCHEZ: Yes. Yes, sir, I understand
3	that. And I'll pay more attention to it.
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So you've got a list
5	of all those, right?
6	MR. SANCHEZ: Yes, sir.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: If not, we can give
8	you some of them. And just put them down.
9	MR. SANCHEZ: Okay. Thank you.
10	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you.
11	MR. SANCHEZ: Is that it?
12	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That's it. He's out
13	of here.
14	
15	AGENDA ITEM 16
16	ANTHONY WILLIAMS
17	
18	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Anthony Williams.
19	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
20	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: He's here.
21	MR. WILLIAMS: Could I have a closed service?
22	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: He'd like a closed one,
23	please.
24	MS. RAY: Closed.
25	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Closed. All right.

1	* * * *
2	(A closed session of the meeting was held, after which
3	the meeting was then opened back up to the public and
4	resumed as follows.)
5	* * * *
6	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. We're back.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. We're back.
8	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Mr. Chairman?
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?
10	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: I have a motion.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right.
12	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: I move that the denial of
13	registration of Anthony Williams be reversed.
14	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Second.
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: There's a motion and a
16	second. All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
17	(Board members said "aye.")
18	Opposed?
19	Nay here. Or none. You got it.
20	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: She'll put it in the
21	computer, and you're done.
22	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: You're licensed.
23	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir.
24	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You're welcome.
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Have a merry Christmas,

1	everybody.
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You do the same.
3	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. All right.
4	
5	AGENDA ITEM 17
6	ERROL DAVIS
7	
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Errol
9	Davis.
10	MR. DAVIS: Right here.
11	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yes, he's here.
12	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Good morning,
13	Mr. Davis.
14	MR. DAVIS: Good morning.
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, I guess, it's
16	afternoon now.
17	MR. DAVIS: Afternoon, yes.
18	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Just a second, sir.
19	MR. WILLIAMS: Could I just ask one question?
20	If I when I fill out my application for this job that
21	I got terminated from, should I put all those incidents
22	on there?
23	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Absolutely, everything.
24	MR. WILLIAMS: Everything on there?
25	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Even some we didn't catch

```
1
   you on.
            MR. WILLIAMS:
                          Okay. Thank you.
 2
            INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Sorry, Mr. Chairman.
 3
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER:
                                      That's all right.
                                                         Go
 4
   ahead.
 5
            INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Okay. Errol Davis was
 6
   denied because he did not list two arrests on his
7
   application. The first arrest was 7 of '97 in
 8
9
   Henderson, Nevada, felony grand larceny. The second was
   7 of '01 in Las Vegas, Nevada --
10
            (The Las Vegas teleconference connection was
11
   lost.)
12
            MS. RAY:
1.3
                       Woops.
            (There was a brief period off the record while
14
   the Las Vegas connection was reestablished.)
15
            INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Did you get that?
16
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: No. We're back with
17
   you now. Would you mind starting over again.
18
            INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Okay. Errol Davis was
19
   denied for not listing two arrests on his application,
20
   7 of '97 in Henderson, Nevada, felony grand larceny,
2.1
22
   which was -- the disposition was guilty in trial, but it
   was amended to a petit larceny, a misdemeanor. 7 of '01
2.3
   in Las Vegas, Nevada, possession of narcotics
24
25
   paraphernalia, which is a misdemeanor, also, and it
```

was -- there was no disposition on that, on that arrest, 1 but it's a misdemeanor charge. 2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And that's it? 3 INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Yes, sir. So that was 4 the -- the only issue was that he didn't list them on 5 the application. 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Mr. Davis? 7 MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir. 8 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Can you tell us why you didn't list them on your application? 10 MR. DAVIS: Well, honestly, it was just a 11 mistake. I had filled out a lot of applications that 12 day, and I might have read it wrong, thinking it said 1.3 "Have you ever been arrested for felonies?" And so, I 14 think, I just marked no, just like I do on every other 15 application. So it was just an honest mistake. 16 17 And I don't know about that 7-01. I've only been in jail once, and that's for Henderson. I don't 18 know where that other one's coming from. I don't even 19 know about that one. 2.0 INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: That was a citation. 2.1 22 MR. DAVIS: A citation? Oh, okay. INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Yeah. A citation is also 23 an arrest. It's in lieu of an arrest. 24 25 MR. DAVIS: Okay. I didn't know that. Okay.

So that would have just been a mistake. 1 Yeah. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Is it one that --2 MR. DAVIS: And I wouldn't -- go ahead. 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Is it one you'll make 4 again? 5 MR. DAVIS: No. No, not at all. I have to 6 read, read them more carefully. 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Jobs are hard to come 8 9 by for people. MR. DAVIS: Yes, and I had a good one before I 10 lost it. 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Questions from the 12 Board? 1.3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yes, I have one. I noticed 14 in the -- in one of the responses that you sent in 15 regarding this, you were -- appeared to be somewhat 16 dissatisfied with the communications. 17 MR. DAVIS: Yes. 18 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Indicating a staff member 19 from the Board. And you asked them a questions about 20 whether or not there was some violation of your privacy 2.1 22 or professionalism of communicating with you through e-mail. 2.3 MR. DAVIS: Well, yeah, because Murphy, he said 24 25 he e-mailed me. And I didn't have my Internet on at

that time. So he said it would have been solved. But I 1 didn't get back with him. And I told him, "Well, I have 2 a phone number." And I have -- you know, they didn't 3 send me anything to my house. So I never knew it. I 4 had to call the investigation board to see what happened to my license. 6 And so e-mail's not the only way to get in 7 touch with me. That's what I'm saying. 8 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Anything else? Was there anything else? 10 11 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: No. No, thank you. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I guess not. Any 12 questions from the Board? 1.3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. 14 answered, and I didn't know you were talking to the 15 applicant. 16 17 Do you have anything else? MR. DAVIS: Oh, no, I have nothing else. 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, I didn't think --19 I thought you were through. 20 2.1 MR. DAVIS: Yes. 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Any other Board questions? 2.3 Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion. 24 25 You understand, Mr. Davis, that regardless of

1 whether or not you're given your privileges back today, that in the future you still have to list that 2 information? 3 MR. DAVIS: Yeah, I understand that. And I 4 know that was my fault. 5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. Especially when 6 you have what appears to be a very minor... 7 MR. DAVIS: Yeah. And that's -- you know, I 8 9 went through a lot to get this job. And, you know, it doesn't make sense that I would lie, when I paid like 10 almost \$200 just to get the job. So, you know, it was 11 just a mistake on my part. 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You paid to get a job? 1.3 MR. DAVIS: Well, you know, you got to pay for 14 to get the guard card. You got to pay to get 15 fingerprinted, you know, yeah. 16 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. I thought you were kind of paying the man. 18 MR. DAVIS: Oh, no. 19 No. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Good. 20 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, I'd make a 2.1 motion. 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 2.3 Okay. BOARD MEMBER ZANE: That we reverse the denial 24 25 for Errol Davis and issue him a card.

1	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Second.
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I have a motion and a
3	second. All in favor, significant by saying "aye."
4	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Discussion.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, discussion.
6	Excuse me.
7	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Thank you. Mr. Chairman,
8	I'm struggling with this, because, well, Mr. Davis's
9	most recent citation arrest, whatever you want to call
10	it, only happened nine years ago. And I'm having a
11	I'm struggling with buying the "I forgot" logic here.
12	And the other, the grand larceny, "I forgot." I don't
13	know. I'm really struggling here, because if someone's
14	arrested, typically, they remember arrests. And, number
15	two, if they're given a citation, that the application
16	requests that information.
17	And so I'm really struggling on whether I can
18	support this motion or not. "I forgot" just doesn't cut
19	it when it's only nine years ago.
20	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, I understood the
21	reason to be that he'd been doing so many of them that
22	day, that he just did no on all of them.
23	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I understand. I
24	understand.
25	MR. DAVIS: Excuse me. For the

1 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. This is Board discussion. 2 MR. DAVIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. 3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And I understand that, 4 you know, he said, "I'm filling out a bunch of 5 applications." Well, I don't know what else he forgot. 6 And so I'm just -- like I'm saying, I'm struggling with 7 this. 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You know, Mr. Davis, you know how tough it is to find a job today? 10 MR. DAVIS: Yes, I do. 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You know --12 MR. DAVIS: It took me six months to find this 13 one. 14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, just a job, just 15 any kind of a job. There are people out there that are 16 17 used to wearing suits that are digging ditches. MR. DAVIS: Yeah. 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: God bless them. 19 MR. DAVIS: And that's why I need my job. And 20 I, you know -- I know he can't understand that, you 2.1 know, someone -- I didn't forget. I think, I just -- I 22 either skipped through the question or didn't read it 2.3 all the way through. I knew, I knew I went to jail in 24 25 Henderson. And the second one wouldn't have been on

there, because I didn't know a citation was considered 1 going to jail, was arrested. So I didn't know that at 2 all. 3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Isn't there a question on 4 the application that says "Have you received a 5 citation, " or something of that nature, "other than a 6 traffic citation?" or something like that? I don't have 7 the application in front of me. 8 MS. RAY: It does, it asks for --9 MS. OZEKIE: Have you ever been arrested, or 10 have you ever arrested or issued a citation? I don't 11 think it specifies. 12 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. So the question is 1.3 "Have you ever been arrested or issued a citation?" 14 MS. OZEKIE: I believe so. 15 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. Investigator, 16 17 Nick, do you know the answer to that question? INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Yes, it is. It's very 18 clear. It asks for all arrests and including citations. 19 So there's not a question about that. 2.0 2.1 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: It's just an 22 interpretation. And if somebody misunderstands, they 2.3 should ask questions, too. 24 25 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: All right. Thank you.

1 Anyway, I -- somebody. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Well, I'm going 2 to get off the pulpit. I'm not going to sit here and 3 chastise you anymore for not doing what you should have 4 done. 5 MR. DAVIS: Okay. 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: But if you're given 7 your ability back, you want to hang on to that job 8 9 today. MR. DAVIS: Yes, I do. 10 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Do you have any more discussion? 12 Okay. We'll back off that discussion. We have 1.3 a motion and a second. All in favor, signify by saying 14 "aye." 15 (Board members said "aye.") 16 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed? BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: No. 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. You've been 19 given your license back or your --20 2.1 MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much, sir. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Have a merry 22 Christmas. 2.3 MR. DAVIS: You, too. 24 25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And, remember, that

1	when they come around and give you that to do again,
2	when it runs out, you've got to put that stuff down.
3	MR. DAVIS: Okay. I will.
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: It doesn't go away.
5	MR. DAVIS: I'll remember now. There's no way
6	I can forget now.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right.
8	MR. DAVIS: All right. Thank you.
9	
10	AGENDA ITEM 18
11	FARLEY THOMAS
12	
13	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Farley Thomas.
14	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: He he indicated that he
15	wanted to go back to his house and get the dispositions
16	on his two arrests.
17	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. We'll wait for
18	him until we get through here.
19	
20	AGENDA ITEM 19
21	TW GARRARD
22	
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: TW Garrard.
24	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Nobody here.
25	///
	<b>1</b>

1	AGENDA ITEM 20
2	CHRISTOPHER GLORIA
3	
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Christopher
5	Gloria.
6	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: He's here.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: He is?
8	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yes.
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, good.
10	Good morning. How are you today?
11	MR. GLORIA: Good. Yourself?
12	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Not too bad.
13	Waiting on just one?
14	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Yes. Okay. This is
15	Investigator Whatley. Christopher Gloria failed to
16	fully disclose his arrest history. He may have been
17	convicted of a felony. And he listed 7-1-09, battery,
18	as dismissed; 10-1-08, stalking, closed.
19	What he failed to disclose was 2-20-08, assault
20	with a deadly weapon, felony; false imprisonment,
21	felony; injury to other property, gross misdemeanor; and
22	larceny from a person, felony. So that was one arrest.
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What dates are those?
24	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Hm?
25	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What are dates of

```
1
   those?
            INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: 2-20-08. He failed to
 2
   disclose the 11-26-07, battery, domestic violence,
 3
   quilty at trial; stalking, quilty at trial; trespass,
 4
   dismissed. And he failed to disclose 10-17-07, coercion
 5
   with a deadly weapon, felony, denied; battery, domestic
 6
   violence, no disposition.
7
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: These were not
 8
   disclosed?
9
            INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: No.
10
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Mr. Gloria, why didn't
11
   you disclose --
12
            MR. GLORIA: Yes, sir?
1.3
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Why didn't you
14
   disclose those?
15
            MR. GLORIA: All my stuff that I wrote down
16
17
   from my lawyer here in Vegas, he closed all my cases.
   All that stuff, he said when I filled out any
18
   information, only the stuff that was closed or
19
   dismissed, just put down on any applications, because
2.0
    that was, by the court, was what I had to write down.
2.1
            All the other stuff was all dismissed. He said
22
    that whenever I filled anything out, just write down
2.3
   what they told me at the end to write. And all that
24
25
   battery and misdemeanor, trespassing, stalking,
```

everything, was all dismissed, and it got all dropped 1 down to a battery misdemeanor that I had to do community 2 service, domestic violence classes and pay a fine. 3 did all that. And then all my other cases, all I had to do 5 was on-line courses, some more counseling, and fines. 6 So I did all that. I got it all paid and everything. 7 And that was as of my lawyer. He dropped me from 8 9 nonpayment. Because I wasn't able to get a job for almost three years now. And now I got a job that wants 10 me to have the security job, just to provide for my 11 family. 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Maybe your -- maybe 13 your attorney can get you the job somehow, because he 14 gave you the wrong poop. 15 MR. GLORIA: Yeah, well, I tried to contact my 16 17 lawyer, but he doesn't want anything to do with me anymore. I did submit all my information to Officer --18 or to Investigator Whatley. Even my supervisor. I sent 19 a letter. Pretty much, I sent all the information I 2.0 have had, all the dismissed appeals and everything. 2.1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 22 When he advised you to not do anything, did you have this, the application for 2.3 this job or for this clearance? Did he say that on 24 25 this, on this clearance?

1	MR. GLORIA: No. I haven't talked to him for
2	quite a while now. When I tried for this, my supervisor
3	that I have right now told me that just give all
4	information that I have. And so I gave everything that
5	I have. He also gave me a letter to go with it.
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Do you have the
7	letter?
8	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Actually, do you have
9	his letter?
10	MS. RAY: The appeal letter?
11	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: M-hm (affirmative).
12	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Well, there's three
13	letters in here, two of which appear to be the same
14	letter.
15	MS. RAY: Gloria. I have
16	MR. GLORIA: It should be from Tracy Keys.
17	MS. RAY: Oh, okay. Sorry. I thought I had
18	done something wrong.
19	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: If anyone has
20	questions, please go ahead and go.
21	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman?
22	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?
23	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Thank you. The '08
24	assault with a deadly weapon, that was a conviction?
25	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Actually, there's no

1 disposition. It was assault with a deadly weapon, false imprisonment, injury to other property, which is a gross 2 mis, and larceny from a person. So three felonies and a 3 gross misdemeanor. And there's no disposition. 4 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Gloria, what's the 5 disposition on those? 6 MR. GLORIA: Dropped down to a battery 7 misdemeanor. 8 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: The '07 domestic 9 violence --10 11 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: And stalking, coercion and trespass. 12 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Stalking, coercion and --1.3 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Actually, one arrest, 14 the 11-26-07, is battery, domestic violence and 15 stalking, guilty at trial. The trespass was dismissed. 16 17 And then there's a subsequent arrest in '07 that was a month prior, 10-17-07. That was the coercion with a 18 deadly weapon, which is a felony. It was denied. And a 19 battery, domestic violence, no disposition. 2.0 MR. GLORIA: All of those charges all happened 2.1 in the same county. Well, actually, it was one in 22 Henderson, and also in county. They were combined. 2.3 Αs long as did I what I was supposed to do in county, the 24 25 one that happened in Henderson got dismissed, which it

actually got brought down to a stalking charge that 1 stayed. And then, once that stayed, the county said 2 that was fine, and they would drop it down, everything 3 to a battery misdemeanor. 4 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: None of these were 5 disclosed on the application? 6 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: None of these, no. 7 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. 8 9 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: He disclosed two, 7-1-09, battery, dismissed; 10-1-08, stalking, and he 10 put "closed." So that, by him putting "closed," I would 11 think that was some kind of conviction, since he didn't 12 put "dismissed" or "denied," he put "closed." 1.3 MR. GLORIA: I just remember being arrested 14 twice, or three times total, but only two cases. 15 that's why I only listed both of them. Because 16 17 everything was all in one for Henderson and county, but it was only under one arrest, even though I was arrested 18 twice, two different times, but it was all one case. 19 And then my other one was another case. 2.0 2.1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, you should take 22 Tracy Keys out to lunch or something, because she did a real, real nice job for you. 2.3 MR. GLORIA: Yeah, thank you. 24 25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: At what point in

time -- well, let me rephrase that. When you didn't 1 fill out this properly, were you going on the advice 2 you'd gotten from your attorney? 3 MR. GLORIA: Yeah. And that was, like I stated 4 before, that was a long time ago that I talked to him, 5 almost back in April. And that's what, on the advice I 6 got from him. Tracy Keys tried to tell me, after I 7 submitted it, what next time I should do to submit it, 8 9 to make it better. He's pretty much been my guidance to try and get this security clearance. And he's trying to 10 help me out as much as I can. Or as much as he can. 11 Sorry. 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Tracy Keys? 1.3 MR. GLORIA: Yes, sir. Tracy Keys is trying to 14 help me as much as he can to help me progress in the 15 company in which we work for. 16 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: MR. GLORIA: And also to get this clearance. 18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Who do you work for? 19 MR. GLORIA: We Serve. 2.0 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Reserve? 2.1 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: We Serve. 22 MR. GLORIA: It's We Serve, here in Vegas. 2.3 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: That was yesterday, 24 25 wasn't it?

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. Are you working
2	now?
3	MR. GLORIA: Yes. I actually work tomorrow and
4	Saturday.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And in what position?
6	MR. GLORIA: Usher.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Usher. Okay. Who was
8	your attorney?
9	MR. GLORIA: Michael Van.
10	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Michael who?
11	MR. GLORIA: Van. Like a car, van.
12	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: V-A-N.
13	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Does being an usher
14	have anything to do with security?
15	MR. GLORIA: No. The only, the only reason you
16	need a security card, for like the events we do, the
17	concerts, just so they know that you can stand there at
18	your post and be security if you have if there's any
19	hostile customers, that you can deal with them and take
20	them to Tracy, which is the main security supervisor.
21	As an usher, you're not allowed to deal with
22	the customers, even though they might be up in your face
23	or anything like that. You still have to call a
24	security, just to move them to the next supervisor,
25	which would be Tracy anywhere I work.

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: How old are you?
2	MR. GLORIA: 24.
3	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You don't look that
4	old.
5	Any further questions from the Board? I'll
6	entertain a motion.
7	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman, I'd move to
8	sustain the denial.
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I have a motion for a
10	sustainment of the denial. Is there a second?
11	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Second.
12	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Was that a second?
13	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Second.
14	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. I have a motion
15	and a second. All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
16	(Board members said "aye.")
17	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed? Nay. One.
18	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Could we take a break?
19	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, let's take a
20	break.
21	* * * *
22	(A break was taken, 12:30 to 1:15 p.m.)
23	* * * *
24	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: This is the second
25	half. I was going to make this the second eighth of the

1	afternoon session.
2	
3	AGENDA ITEM 21
4	DOMINIQUE CLOUTIER
5	
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: First up, on is
7	Dominique Cloutier.
8	MS. RAY: Is she in Las Vegas?
9	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Nobody's approaching.
10	MS. RAY: Okay.
11	
12	AGENDA ITEM 18
13	FARLEY THOMAS
14	
15	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Oh, we Mr. Chairman, if
16	we have a break, we do have a matter that might be able
17	to be resolved in just a couple of seconds, and that
18	would be item number 18.
19	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Okay.
20	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. We will do it
21	next.
22	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Thank you.
23	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Mr. Thomas wants to
24	withdraw his appeal. And I believe we can resolve this
25	sometime in the near future. But he does not want to

```
give his up his right to appeal if there's a problem.
1
             BOARD MEMBER ZANE:
                                 Right. That would be
 2
   March, the next appeal date, if that is possible.
 3
             BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I move that we postpone
 4
   this appeal until March.
 5
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Until March. Okay.
 6
            BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Second.
 7
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All in favor?
 8
             (Board members said "aye.")
 9
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed? Nay.
                                                       Ιt
10
11
   passes.
            MR. THOMAS: Thank you.
12
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We'll get it.
13
             (There was a brief period off the record.)
14
15
                         AGENDA ITEM 21
16
                       DOMINIQUE CLOUTIER
17
18
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We don't have a
19
   Dominique Cloutier there?
20
2.1
            BOARD MEMBER ZANE: No, sir.
   ///
22
   ///
2.3
24
   ///
25
   ///
```

1	AGENDA ITEM 22
2	JOSEPH MARSHALL
3	
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: How about Joseph
5	Marshall?
6	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: No, nobody here by that
7	name.
8	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: And I actually have
9	information. If I can refresh the memory of the Board,
10	Joseph Marshall first came before the Board in June.
11	And the Board had tabled, or continued his appeal until
12	September so he could attempt to have records sealed.
13	In September, the Board received a letter stating that
14	the deputy district attorney had signed off on the
15	sealing of records, but they were waiting for the
16	judge's signature. So the Board allowed Mr. Marshall to
17	go into provisional status pending receipt of that
18	paperwork.
19	What we've been trying to fax to Board Member
20	Zane is just today we received the fax. The first
21	order we received was that it had been signed, but
22	there was a mishap from the court, and they mailed the
23	order rather than allowing him to pick it up. However,
24	then we received a second fax of five pages showing that
25	the an order to seal records, and it is signed.

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All the right people.
2	Okay. Would it be proper to was that the sole issue?
3	I don't recall.
4	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: That was the sole issue,
5	was the sealing of the record, because it was a firearms
6	offense of aiming.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What should we do?
8	MS. RAY: Did we tell him he needed to be here?
9	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Actually, I could not, I
10	could not I did not get a response from him when I
11	tried calling him. I've been working with the legal
12	personnel that's been working on this case. But if my
13	memory serves correctly, if he would have received he
14	could have sent this paperwork to our office any time
15	prior to this meeting. This meeting was to take action
16	if we did not receive the paperwork. But we literally
17	received the paperwork an hour ago.
18	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So it's a nonissue
19	issue?
20	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: That's correct.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
22	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: I don't know if you
23	still need a motion to go ahead and complete it. I
24	don't remember how the motion was worded, the last. I
25	think that it gave staff the authority.

1	MS. RAY: Do you recall?
2	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I would, I guess, the
3	appropriate motion would be to overturn the denial. And
4	that's my motion.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Second.
6	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Second.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: There's a motion to
8	overturn the denial and a second. All in favor,
9	significant by saying "aye."
10	(Board members said "aye.")
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed?
12	Lucky guy's got his job back.
13	
14	AGENDA ITEM 23
15	MICHAEL GOLDBERG
16	
17	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Michael Goldberg?
18	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yes.
19	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: He is.
20	Mr. Goldberg, my hat's off to you for waiting
21	that big, long line of people.
22	MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, sir, no problem.
23	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Okay. With
24	Mr. Goldberg, he had a self-disclosed arrest history. I
25	have since, just the day before yesterday, received the

1 fingerprint results back. So that sheds new light on things. 2 But, originally, his self-disclosed arrest 3 history was 1-1-2007, possession methamphetamine, 4 uttering forged instruments, drug paraphernalia. And 5 his disposition says uttering forged instruments and 6 possession of meth charges dropped; paraphernalia, fine. 7 His second disclosed arrest, 3-16-07, for burglary, 8 9 passing bad checks, drug paraphernalia; disposition, he stated restitution for bad checks, and fine, 10 misdemeanor. The third arrest shows 6-8-07, possession 11 of stolen credit cards. It says probation, drug 12 counseling, restitution, community service, gross 1.3 misdemeanor. 14 Since that time, I have received the 15 fingerprint results. And it shows the possession of 16 17 methamphetamine, denied; uttering forged document, denied; drug paraphernalia, no disposition; burglary --18 it does not show a disposition on the burglary. Passing 19 bad checks, no disposition. No disposition on drug 20 paraphernalia. The possession of stolen credit cards, 2.1 guilty at trial, gross misdemeanor. And they are from 22 07. 2.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: This was Mesquite? 24 25 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Actually, Mesquite

```
and --
1
            MR. GOLDBERG: Mesquite, Henderson and
 2
   Las Vegas.
 3
            INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Yes.
                                          Thank you.
 4
            MR. GOLDBERG: You're welcome.
 5
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Those chemicals are
 6
   pretty bad for you.
 7
            MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, sir, they are. If I may,
 8
9
    I'd like to read a statement.
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Sure, go ahead.
10
            MR. GOLDBERG: First, I'd like to thank the
11
   Board for hearing my testimony. I was told I was denied
12
   my application for a sheriff's card for the following
1.3
   reasons: Not of good moral character and temperate
14
   habits and has been convicted of a felony crime or
15
   involving moral turpitude or illegal use or possession
16
17
   of a dangerous weapon.
            First, I'd like to say I have not been
18
   convicted of a felony nor a crime involving weapons.
19
   However, I was convicted of a crime or crimes of moral
20
   turpitude, which was three years ago, in 2007. A few
2.1
   years ago, I made some choices, which I regret, that I'm
22
   not proud of. However, to prove my moral character and
2.3
   temperate habits have been better, since then I have
24
25
   successfully completed a yearlong drug counseling
```

program, which is commonly referred to as drug court
here in Clark County, which I brought the certificate of
completion.

I also was honorably discharged from probation,

1.3

2.0

2.1

which I was on probation, sentenced to probation for three years, and I was honorably discharged after a year and a half, completing all requirements set by the courts. Since then I have maintained steady employment with a company, Realty Maintenance, and currently the Palms Casino Resort, for the past 10 months. I have also since then entered a relationship in which I am engaged to be married, and I am stepfather to two kids.

Since my trouble three years ago, my moral character and temperate habits have improved more than ever in my entire life. Any chance of slipping into my previous temperate habits is not a chance. I'm a family man now.

I would say, also like to say that when filling out my application, I fully disclosed, disclosed all criminal information. I did not have anything to hide or -- and did not leave anything out.

In closing, I would like to say I'm a perfect example that people do, do make mistakes but can change.

I ask the Board to reconsider their decision and approve me for a sheriff's card. I also brought my father as a

character witness. He is a retired New York City police 1 officer of 18 and a half years. 2 Thank you. 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you, and 4 congratulations. 5 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you very much. 6 MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): Can I just say a couple 7 words before the decision is made? 8 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Boy, are you from New York. 10 11 MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): Yes, sir. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Go ahead, 12 sir. 1.3 MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): Oh, like my son said, 14 I'm retired, 18 and a half years, from the police 15 department. And I just want to say a couple things, 16 17 that my son was a bad meth kid, many, a couple of good years ago. And I suffered just as he did. Because I 18 had to go bail him out, move him out of Mesquite, which 19 is a meth lab. Almost every house there is a meth lab 2.0 in Mesquite. It's real bad out there. 2.1 When I was a cop, crack cocaine in the '70s and 22 '80s was bad, and I didn't get involved with meth. 2.3 But I know how bad it can get. Because I moved him out of 24 25 Mesquite, I moved him back home, and I still had that

problem. It took me a year to get him off of that meth. 1 It's a real addictive drug. A lot of people don't know 2 how bad it can be. And that's what caused him to do 3 that forgery, checks, whatever else he did. 4 But I want to give you an example quickly. I 5 know you want to go to lunch today. But two examples 6 that I have. I worked as a community safety officer in 7 one of these high-end places. And I've met George 8 9 Maloof, who's a billionaire and owns the Palms. That's how my son got in there. Human Resources turned him 10 down. But Maloof said that a young kid should get a 11 second chance. And that's why he's working right now. 12 And I also have one quick thing that was in 13 today's paper about second chances. There's a guy who 14 was a bum, fight guy in 2002. I don't know if you can 15 see the picture, how bad he was. Homeless, no job, no 16 nothing. Now, eight years later, he's married, with a 17 family, and working. 18 So people do change. And as a cop, I've locked 19 up probably close to a thousand people. Drug addicts 20 was one of my main lockups. So I felt real embarrassed 2.1 when I had to pick up this call when he was arrested. 22 As a cop, I feel real bad that he was addicted to that 2.3 methamphetamine, you know. And I know I've changed 24 25 people's lives as a cop. I've had drug addicts that

I've got off drugs. And I've got prostitutes off that 1 stroll that they've been on for many years. People do 2 change. 3 It's only been three years, but my son is never 4 going to go back. Thank you. 5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you very much. 6 I've sat through a couple of classes and impromptu 7 classes, people telling, showing you what's in that 8 9 methamphetamine. I mean how anybody can enter that into their body is beyond me. 10 MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): That makes two of us. 11 It's beyond me, too. But they're hooked on it. They 12 have no imagination of what they're doing when they're 1.3 hooked on that stuff. He did not know what he was 14 Their mind is completely gone. They have no 15 doing. 16 sorrow, nothing. 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That's right. Questions from the Board? 18 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yes, I have a couple. 19 What's your job description at the Palms? 20 2.1 MR. GOLDBERG: Currently, I work in banquets, setting up banquets, set-up, which is the tables and 22 chairs, for catering. The original reason I applied for 2.3 a sheriff's card is when times were slow. Because I 24 25 work on call at the Palms. I was going to apply for a

security job at the Pro-Tect Security, and they told me 1 I had to get a sheriff's card first. But, also, now 2 that I'm working more hours at the Palms, I'd still like 3 to get my sheriff's card for future job opportunities. 4 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: In your job at the Palms, 5 did you have to get a gaming card of any type? 6 MR. GOLDBERG: Just a tenant card. 7 health card. 8 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You know, you're probably going to run into more drugs there than you 10 will most places. I don't mean Maloof's place, but I'm 11 talking about "there" being casinos. 12 MR. GOLDBERG: M-hm (affirmative). 1.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Than any place you 14 might go. And I'd be prepared to call dad when the 15 temptation comes around and say, "I need a talking-to," 16 17 because you can't fall off that wagon again. MR. GOLDBERG: I have NA numbers, and I also 18 19 have a sponsor. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, good. 2.0 2.1 On the burglary charge, do you have the 22 disposition on that? MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, I do. The burglary charge 2.3 was originally for passing bad checks from a closed 24 25 account I had. And the disposition was that the charges

would be dropped as long as I paid restitution, which I 1 did. 2 MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): I paid restitution. 3 MR. GOLDBERG: My dad paid the restitution. 4 MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): I took care of all the 5 6 cost. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, has he paid 7 restitution to you? 8 9 MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): Not yet. I hope in the 10 future. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: A better job will do 11 it, right? 12 MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): I hope so. 1.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Anything else? Any 14 questions from the Board? 15 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: I just have a comment I'd 16 like to make to the younger Mr. Goldberg. How long have 17 you been clean, sir? 18 MR. GOLDBERG: January 25th will be three years 19 2.0 exactly. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Bless you. 2.1 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you. 22 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: And I hope you can keep 23 it up. 24 25 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you. Definitely.

MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): One more thing. When I 1 was a cop, after 18 and a half years, I got shot, from a 2 drug thing, a \$10 million business they were doing. The 3 feds came in and locked them up after I got shot with a shotgun, from drugs. So I know a bit about drugs. And 5 I survived. The quy didn't. But I'm saying it's one of 6 the worst things you can be hooked on. I don't care 7 what anybody says. I've dealt with crack cocaine. I 8 don't know too much about meth. But I learned it from 9 the Internet. And it's just something that you got to 10 pray that you stay off of, whoever gets on it. Because 11 I know from real experience. 12 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Sir, I would say that if 1.3 there is such a thing as something being evil --14 MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): That's exactly right. 15 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Nothing's worse than 16 17 meth. Nothing. MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): That's right, exactly 18 right. Evil is the word. 19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Any 20 2.1 further questions? I'll entertain a motion. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Mr. Chairman, I move that 22 the denial of Michael Goldberg's application for 2.3 registration be reversed. 24 25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Second. I have a

1	motion and a second. All in favor, signify by saying
2	"aye."
3	(Board members said "aye.")
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed?
5	Congratulations.
6	MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you, sir.
7	MR. GOLDBERG (FATHER): Thank you very much.
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You got to realize
9	something, you know. So much is hanging on something so
10	little. Don't screw it up.
11	MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, I understand. Thank you
12	very much.
13	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Don't screw it up.
14	
15	AGENDA ITEMS 13, 14, 19, 21
16	KYRON WEBB, GREGG RAMIREZ,
17	TW GARRARD, DOMINIQUE CLOUTIER
18	
19	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman?
20	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?
21	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I would make a motion, if
22	you would accept it, that item number 13, Kyron Webb,
23	that we sustain the denial; that item number 14, Gregg
24	Ramirez, we sustain the denial; item number 19, TW
25	Garrard, we sustain the denial; and item number 21,

1	Dominique Cloutier, we sustain the denial.
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Second.
3	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Second.
4	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mark, did you hear that?
5	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yes, I heard.
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Motion and second.
7	All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
8	(Board members said "aye.")
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So be it.
10	
11	AGENDA ITEM 7
12	RICHARD ROSAS
13	
14	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. I'm sorry. I
15	forgot Richard Rosas, also. I would move that item
16	number seven, Richard Rosas, also be denied.
17	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Second.
18	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Second.
19	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All in favor?
20	(Board members said "aye.")
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed?
22	So be it.
23	* * * *
24	(There was a break, 1:35 to 1:40 p.m.)
25	* * * *
	<u> </u>

1	AGENDA ITEM 31
2	(FROM 12-08-10)
3	
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: It looks like 31.
5	MS. RAY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	As part of the workshop that we held on
7	November 22nd, we put this on the agenda. And there
8	really wasn't a lot of interest. I think, there was one
9	member, or one individual from the audience who said
10	that, you know, we had talked about this briefly when we
11	started the work card registration process with regard
12	to employee files, you know, so registration employee
13	files and their retention and maintaining those. He
14	also said that there were a number of federal or IRS
15	guidelines that, you know, mandate how long you have to
16	keep those types of records. Specifically, I put it on
17	the workshop agenda because not very often and you
18	might remember, at a previous Board meeting, you brought
19	this up and thought that perhaps we should look at it.
20	So this item today is just to determine if we
21	want to have an amendment to the NAC that would include
22	any type of retention schedules for items such as
23	investigative reports, you know, employee records, you
24	know, whatever it is that you feel is necessary, or that
2.5	maybe it isn't necessary. Maybe that's not for us to

decide on how long they should maintain their records. 1 So. 2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, sir? 3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: What's our normal audit? 4 I mean we were dealing -- yesterday we were dealing with 5 auditing records dating back to 2003. So our normal 6 audit, what's -- I'm sorry. You may have said that and 7 I just... 8 9 MS. RAY: I didn't. You know, for us, the State of Nevada -- what is it? Records and Archives, 10 Department of Records and Archives, they determine 11 retention schedules for agencies. So for us, employee 12 records, I believe, have to be maintained for six years. 1.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Wow. 14 MS. RAY: But, you know, I don't know how long 15 a person in private industry is required to maintain 16 17 their records. The individual that spoke up at the workshop, I believe, he said it was for a period of four 18 years, which was some sort of a federal guideline or 19 regulation. So. 2.0 And I don't know if that's specific to payroll 2.1 records. I mean that could just be an employee file. I 22 mean maybe they have to maintain payroll records longer 2.3 than that. I really don't know. 24 25 We don't get very many calls. I would say we

might get a call a year from a licensee who may be going 1 out of business and wants to know how long they have to 2 maintain their reports or evidence or documents. And we 3 don't have anything, you know. It's not our call for 4 that, and so we tell them they can consult with an 5 attorney, or they can maybe, you know, follow along the 6 same lines as a law enforcement agency, which would be, 7 I believe, 10 years, or the IRS requirements, which are 8 9 seven years. But we don't have anything in our statutes or regulations that address that. 10 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What kind of shape are 11 we in now, as far as storage? 12 MS. RAY: Well, this isn't for us. This is 1.3 for -- to amend the NAC so that licensees have something 14 to refer to. We have a retention schedule that is 15 determined by another state agency for PILB staff. 16 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And what is that? MS. RAY: Well, it depends. Background 18 investigation reports, you know, are three years. You 19 know, we maintain the actual historical stuff forever. 2.0 2.1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Because, the reason I ask that is that it's going to -- somebody who's in 22 business for a long time, you're going to keep all that 2.3 stuff forever. 24 25 MS. RAY: This isn't for us. This is --

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I know, but
2	MS. RAY: We're not going to maintain their
3	records for them.
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: No, no. I'm talking
5	the things that we need to do. Never mind.
6	MS. RAY: I'm sorry. No, no, I'm just not
7	following what you're saying.
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'm sure I
9	MS. RAY: When we when you have an applicant
10	that comes before you at a Board meeting, the
11	investigative documents and everything that was
12	collected for that background report, we maintain that
13	information for three years, and then we get rid of it.
14	We keep the actual background report and application;
15	but all of the backup documentation, we only maintain
16	that for three years.
17	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.
18	MS. RAY: So the Lexis report, the credit
19	report, any supplemental information that was provided
20	with that application, that isn't part of the package of
21	information that you received, we get rid of after three
22	years.
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. So you're
24	talking solely about licensees, then?
25	MS. RAY: Right. They're looking for

something -- well, they may not even be looking for 1 something. We just -- this came up at a Board meeting. 2 We talked about it at a workshop. There wasn't a lot of 3 comments from the public about it at our workshop. 4 So what we need to decide today is do we even 5 need to amend the NAC to include anything with the 6 retention schedule for our licensees. 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I think, you're going 8 9 to -- I think, for right now, it seems you'd just be 10 wasting your time. 11 MS. RAY: Okay. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Because I know some 12 guys who -- some businesses that have set those for 1.3 themselves, and beyond that, they just --14 MS. RAY: It's a business decision on their 15 16 part. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. 17 I mean perhaps we should leave it. 18 MS. RAY: BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: See, licensees is the 19 same as -- well, not the same as we would, but have the 20 tax issues, you know, and the bank issues and the 2.1 employment issues, I guess, in some sense. I hadn't 22 thought about that. 2.3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman? 24 I quess, 25 my concern is if we don't have something within NAC that

limits or establishes how long they maintain their 1 records, then, just as yesterday, we're going back, 2 whatever records they have, we can go back. And then, 3 all of a sudden, we're dinging them for -- not 4 necessarily unreasonably, but we're dinging them for 5 records that are probably five, six, seven years old. 6 And, but then if someone only maintains three years of 7 records, that -- you see what I'm saying? There's a 8 9 disparity of application or enforcement there. So if we, through NAC, if we outline how long 10 they maintain their records, then that limits how far 11 back we go in our audits. I don't know. Does that seem 12 like circle logic or something? But. I mean you guys 1.3 are the ones that handle your employee basis. 14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You're looking at two 15 different things here. You're looking at what the 16 17 individual company or employer or licensee is going to do with his records. And if you want something 18 different, then I don't know. 19 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Are we discussing the 20 records between a client and the business, or the 2.1 records that we have to maintain in order to prove up 22 audit information? 2.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well. 24 25 MS. RAY: Any of the above, I suppose.

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah, any. It would
2	be all of that.
3	Yes, sir?
4	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Mr. Chairman, I just want
5	to, you know, remind that NRS 648, as far as polygraph
6	is concerned, requires them to maintain records for
7	three years, of all examinations, blah, blah, blah.
8	So, you know, that might be kind of a guideline
9	or a mark in the sand that we apply to everything else
L 0	through the NAC.
1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, I don't have
L 2	I'm not aware of any rules from anybody that I have to
L 3	adhere to, as far as maintaining records, except the
L <b>4</b>	IRS.
L 5	MS. RAY: Did Mark have a comment?
L 6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, Mark, do you have
L 7	another comment?
8 .	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: No, I just want to be clear
L 9	what you're what type of records we were talking
20	about.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You know, I'd keep an
22	eye on it maybe and or what Jim says.
23	MS. RAY: Well, do you want to review some
2 4	proposed language and then decide? Or, you know, I'm
25	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Once you get going on

it, it's going to be a project. 1 MS. RAY: Well, yes, it is, because the 2 legislature's in session, so I think it can only be a 3 temporary reg anyway. And we'd have to go through this 4 process twice, because we have to do it for the temporary, and then, when we want to actually adopt 6 them, then we have to go through the workshop process 7 and the public hearing a second time. 8 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Makes sense we ought 10 to get started. 11 MS. RAY: Maybe we could start on it and, you know... 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What is it, Jim, do 1.3 you want to? 14 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I don't want to create 15 any more work during the legislative session. So if 16 17 it's only a temporary reg, which then means we have to go through another workshop and hearing to make it a 18 permanent reg, my preference would be to wait until 19 after the legislature and then deal with the permanent 2.0 reg at that point. 2.1 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Maybe several legislatures. 2.3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Yeah. 24 25 MS. RAY: So perhaps, if you wanted to look at

1	some language, either in March or June, and then that
2	would put us
3	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Sounds great. Let's
4	do that.
5	MS. RAY: Okay.
6	
7	AGENDA ITEM 32
8	(FROM 12-08-10 AGENDA)
9	
10	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 32. Discussion of
11	possible action for the development of an
12	Enforcement/Disciplinary Action Page located on the PILB
13	website.
14	MS. RAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This was
15	another item that was brought to the Board by the Nevada
16	Society of Investigators. And since that time, we have
17	created a link on our website, on our public website,
18	that takes you to the Legislative Counsel Bureau, where
19	boards and commissions are required to report what
20	happens quarterly.
21	This information is number of licenses issued,
22	number of licenses revoked, disciplinary action, and
23	appeal hearings that have come before you. That's what
24	staff has always considered public. So the information
25	that gets reported to the Legislative Counsel Bureau

through that website is only public information. 1 doesn't consist of complaints that are received and 2 action that staff may take on a complaint. Or citations 3 that are issued that, you know, don't get appealed, they 4 may pay, they may set up a payment arrangements, they may not pay, they get sent to collections. So none of 6 that information is reported and is not considered 7 public. 8 I feel that this is a good start, although the association would like to see more information and have 10 already asked that our attorneys look into this more, 11 that perhaps some of those other complaints that I just 12 mentioned, because they -- they, essentially, are 1.3 adjudicated, or they are public, because they paid their 14 citation, therefore, it, you know, is public, that 15 information should also be available to them. 16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Is the information 17 that we're doing now, is that numbers, or is it names 18 and numbers? 19 It's the name and the action that the 20 MS. RAY: Board took at a Board meeting. 2.1 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, come out of the dark. 2.3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: 24 25 MS. RAY: Nice to see you.

1	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yeah. First time I've
2	seen you in two days.
3	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Absolutely.
4	MS. RAY: So I will say that the link, it's on
5	the consumer resources page of our website, and it's a
6	little bit behind. I'm behind on updating that
7	information. I have updated some of it, but for some
8	reason it's not being released, and we can't access it.
9	So I need to contact somebody at the help desk at the
10	Legislative Counsel Bureau.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Are we going to put
12	MS. RAY: Thoran and I briefly
13	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Go ahead.
14	MS. RAY: Well, we talked a little bit about,
15	you know, if when citations are issued, and they pay
16	or they make payment arrangements, is that something
17	that you would like to see on an agenda, with who they
18	are and what they've proposed or that they paid, and
19	then that might be one way that information can then
20	be become a public record. So, you know.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: It's a great deal. I
22	think it's just make-work, I mean.
23	MS. RAY: And our complaints are confidential.
24	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So, basically, you can
25	put on there subject XXX appeared before the Board and

1	was getting
2	MS. RAY: Well, if they appeared before you, it
3	is public. That information is listed. But the company
4	name, the individual name, the action that the Board
5	took.
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I mean is that what
7	they want? They want an extension of your report.
8	MS. RAY: Well, I think that they've raised
9	this issue with all of you.
10	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: M-hm (affirmative).
11	MS. RAY: So maybe you can better articulate
12	what it is they're seeking.
13	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Isn't it similar to the
14	contract part?
15	MS. RAY: Yes, it's similar to I can't
16	remember what website. But they list, you know, every
17	complaint and every action that was taken by staff.
18	But, again, counsel and I have talked. And
19	their statute may be different. Or somebody is
20	interpreting, you know, the statute differently, and our
21	interpretation is the way it is now.
22	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You're talking about
23	closed matters, you're not talking about anything that
24	might be in there?
25	MS. RAY: Well

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: In other words, a
2	matter has been taken through the steps that we would
3	have them go through, rather than we got this one
4	outstanding and charges this with this.
5	MS. RAY: Well, anything that comes before you
6	in an appeal or a disciplinary matter is public. Maybe
7	not the if they ask for a closed session, a closed
8	session part isn't public. But the Board action and
9	what took place at the meeting is public. And let me
10	give you an example.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I understand.
12	MS. RAY: Okay.
13	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What do you think,
14	Mark?
15	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I think that it's
16	important, number one, not to make anymore work than we
17	have to, but if there's some process so we can
18	systematically make it as transparent as possible.
19	Because I mean if somebody pays a fine to the government
20	agency for violation of a regulation, you know, you'd
21	think, ultimately, the public should have some right to
22	know what that might be.
23	But, by the same token, it would probably
24	create an environment where you'd have a lot more appeal
25	activities, because some of those people just simply

resolve those just to get done with it, and it's in 1 their interest to do so. 2 So I think it's a two-edge sword. You know, on 3 the one hand, what is the right of the public and/or the 4 interested parties, which was the other licensees that 5 want to see who's doing bad and who's doing good, versus 6 what's going to be the backlog in the informal 7 resolution to these matters. And I'd have to assume 8 9 that that's a major consideration when people are 10 figuring whether or not they want to resolve it, is, you know, I'll just get rid of this and pay 2500 bucks, and 11 this will no longer be a problem for me. 12 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman, I think 1.3 there's -- I think there's value in having sunshine on 14 the bad numbers or the bad characters in this industry. 15 And if somebody's operating without a license, or, you 16 17 know --BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 18 Yeah. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: -- or they're, you know, 19 conducting illegal activity, or we have people who are 20 being -- who are just bad actors, then that -- to me, 2.1 that's a considerable protection. You know, if we have 22 somebody that's doing bad stuff as a private 2.3 investigator, or something like that, and we discipline 24

them, then the public should be able to go somewhere and

25

1 be able to vet, vet potential people that they're potentially going to contract with. 2 So I think there's some value in having 3 sunshine on that. How deep it goes, that's the 4 question. Because we certainly don't need to add work 5 to staff here, because it's not like they don't have 6 enough work as it is. 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What about this, what 8 9 about a very simple running board? In other words, you know, if we have -- put a few up there, something that's 10 contemporary, you know, like a year ago, whatever you 11 want to do. Pick a time to start. You can't go back to 12 forever. And then, when we have a meeting, you just 1.3 plop that much more up on the board. Does that make any 14 sense? 15 MS. RAY: 16 No. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: If you've got a -- if 17 it's on the Internet, and you just add these. 18 MS. RAY: Oh. 19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Add these violations 20 on -- what is today, the 9th? Show 17, 29, however many 2.1 people appeared before, you know, or however you want to 22 put that. 2.3 MS. RAY: And that's what we do for everything 24 25 that happens in the public meeting. The question is the

items that don't come before you, that are handled 1 internally. You don't -- I mean I'll tell you how many 2 citations are written in a quarter, but not all six of 3 those are going to appeal. You might only get one 4 appeal. Two of those might pay. Four of them might Which then they get sent to collections. You 6 know, so, you know, that information isn't anywhere 7 that's public. 8 9 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: And --BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Maybe what the 10 exception is, too -- just one second. With the 11 exception of at least what Jim is talking about, I just 12 think it's make-work. I really do. I do see the 1.3 benefit, though, of what they said. 14 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. For instance, 15 there's another regulatory agency in the state that has 16 17 a disciplinary actions running log, that's alphabetical, up, that's basically been since 1996 to 2009. 18 Obviously, it's not up to date. But. But what --19 basically, they give a name. They give a -- you know, 20 they give a -- I guess, a case number of some sort. And 2.1 then the discipline is, for instance, material 22 misrepresentation, commingling funds and, basically, 2.3 something along that line. And that's -- but it's only 24 25 activity that's been adjudicated.

MS. RAY: Okay. And that's the question. So 1 is the cease and desist letter, does that automatically 2 mean it's been adjudicated? I mean when staff takes 3 action, that's the question. 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What's the definition of adjudicated? Does it say that it's got to be in a --6 you know, in a formal setting, or is it -- I mean it has 7 to go through some type of tribunal. 8 9 (To the Reporter) Do you know what it means, adjudicated? I reserve that question. Surely you've 10 heard that before. I will just ask the question. Talk. 11 (Laughter from those present.) 12 THE REPORTER: I'm familiar with the word, but 13 I'm not sure that I'm the one qualified to give the 14 definition. 15 MR. TOWLER: You know, I don't have the exact 16 17 definition here. But usually it means it goes through in front of a tribunal or a judge of some type. 18 Do you know what statute that is for the 19 confidentiality of records? 2.0 2.1 MS. RAY: 684.1487. 22 MR. TOWLER: Okay. So I can explain more. The thing is, we do -- our complaints, as she stated, are 23 confidential. But anything submitted to the Board is no 24 25 longer confidential. So when we issue, we may issue

complaints, and somebody pays, we're still in that 1 confidential level. And if you do want to see the whole 2 tally of everyone's who's paid, there's got to be a way 3 to make it no longer confidential, at least in the area 4 of the Board, at least as an item to approve the 5 agreement that they pay the money. 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Let's say somebody in 7 a certain organization wants to know what this 8 licensee -- how much trouble this licensee has had. Ιs he going to call -- can't he call you and ask? 10 11 MR. TOWLER: That happens. MS. RAY: It does happen. 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, I know. 13 MS. RAY: And oftentimes it's like, okay, the 14 complaint was unfounded, so we are not going to report 15 anything. 16 17 MR. TOWLER: Because it says clearly it's confidential in the statute. 18 MS. RAY: Yeah. 19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So we wouldn't put 20 that in there, right? 2.1 22 MS. RAY: Nope. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 2.3 Nope. MS. RAY: And, you know, the one example that 24 25 we've had that's come before us before in that many

```
1
   complaints.
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah.
 2
            MS. RAY: I mean that is now, that information,
 3
   because the Board took disciplinary action, that is
 4
   public. And it, you know, is out there.
 5
            No, it's not 147. Because there is no 147.
 6
   Here it is. Confidential.
 7
            BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Isn't it 1784?
 8
            MS. RAY: Thank you. I transposed the numbers
9
            160. And 033, I guess.
   maybe.
                                     Sorry.
10
                         That sounds right. Yeah.
11
            MR. TOWLER:
            MS. RAY: Confidentiality.
12
            MR. TOWLER: So it says a complaint filed with
13
    the Board, all documents and other information filed
14
   with the complaint and all documents and other
15
   information compiled as a result of an investigation
16
   conducted to determine whether or not to initiate
17
   disciplinary action against a person are confidential,
18
   unless that person submits a written statement to the
19
   Board.
2.0
            The next section states that the charging
2.1
   documents filed with the Board to initiate disciplinary
22
   action are considered public records.
2.3
            So we have those two. So it has to go to the
24
25
   Board before it's public. So we have some of these that
```

aren't going to the Board, because we have a procedure 1 where if you pay, you know, we investigate, which is all 2 confidential, we issue you a fine, and you pay it, then 3 everything's been confidential. 4 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yeah. 5 MS. RAY: I mean they have three options on the 6 citation, appeal it, pay it, or send the request for 7 payment options. 8 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And that's NRS. So it 9 would require a legislative change in order to bring 10 it -- be more inclusive than what it says. 11 MR. TOWLER: Yeah, I would, I would think you 12 could unconfidential that, make that not confidential 1.3 anymore. 14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Is there any way we 15 could kind of get a flavor from the Board, or something, 16 17 about how long it would take for the people to put it together? 18 MR. TOWLER: The LCB? 19 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: The what? 2.0 MR. TOWLER: The Legislative Counsel Bureau. 2.1 MS. RAY: Well, we have the legislature. 22 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: To try to get something 23 done at this late juncture at the legislature this year? 24 25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, I'm not talking

```
1
   about this year.
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Yeah. In other words,
 2
    it's something we could work on, I'm sure, for 2013.
 3
            MS. RAY: After I'm retired.
 4
            MR. TOWLER:
                          2013.
 5
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: 2013. But I can't
 6
    imagine anything, anything from -- trying to get
7
   something through this legislative session. I mean I
 8
9
   know some good lobbyists that could probably accomplish
        But other than that, I just think it would be --
10
   it.
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Are you available?
11
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Anyway --
12
            MS. RAY: Miracles happen.
1.3
            BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. But the reality,
14
    is, at this late juncture, to try and get an education.
15
   Plus, there are going to be a lot of people that are
16
17
   going to say -- you know, there's going to be -- I would
    expect serious opposition from certain people. Because
18
   if you're going to start putting citations, cease and
19
   desists and things like that, include those in a
2.0
   nonconfidentiality, I just see that that would be
2.1
   really, really difficult.
22
            BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Obviously, we got
2.3
    input just those that --
24
25
             (The Las Vegas connection was lost, then
```

1	reestablished.)
2	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Hello?
3	MS. RAY: Hello.
4	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: One problem I see,
5	though, is if certain actions are confidential and
6	cannot be disclosed to the public, yet others are. I
7	mean I cop a plea and pay my fine. Somebody decides
8	they're going to fight it. This guy gets his name
9	put it's that whole bunch of adverse publicity. And
10	because I've paid my fine, guess what, I don't get any
11	adverse publicity at all.
12	MR. TOWLER: Yeah, I'd pay.
13	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: You know, but what I'm
14	saying, you know, is it's not fair.
15	MR. TOWLER: Right. I think, the confidential
16	section came first. The next documents down says
17	everything given to the Board. Because, of course,
18	what's given to the Board can't be confidential.
19	So I would from what I see here, it looks
20	like at one time the legislature decided it should be
21	confidential, for whatever reason. But then they
22	realize that if you submit it to the Board, the open
23	meeting law requires that it be open.
24	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: M-hm (affirmative).
25	MR. TOWLER: Public documents. So it's an

interesting area that causes a lot of -- I've had a lot 1 I'm sure the director has so many of calls from people. 2 more than I have. But sometimes we have those calls 3 together from people who state the same thing, which I 4 don't disagree with. 5 MS. RAY: I mean our standard was we've taken 6 the appropriate action. That's all we can say. 7 And they've said, "Well, what action did you take?" The 8 9 appropriate action. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yeah. 10 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Look up appropriate. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: 12 Okay. MS. RAY: Anyway, so we do have a link, 13 anything that's come before you. You know, as far as 14 the number of licenses granted, that does not contain a 15 name or any information. I think, it's just numbers 16 17 specific to the category. As far as the discipline and the appeal hearings, it does ask for the company name, 18 the individual name, license number or case number and 19 action taken. 2.0 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Do you want to put 2.1 this out for another couple of months or? 22 MR. TOWLER: If I could, I should also -- from 2.3 based on my research, I know there's some entities that 24

do what we discussed, where you bring everybody forward.

25

- 1 | The massage licensing board is one group where you can't
- 2 | just pay your fine and go about your business.
- 3 Everybody has to come forward. And that's how they get
- 4 around the public records issues, too.
- MS. RAY: And I will say that when they do
- 6 that, they also charge additional fees.
- 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: They do? That's
- 8 extortion.
- 9 MS. RAY: No, I don't know how they write it
- 10 up, but, you know, attorney's fees and costs or, you
- 11 know, an administrative fee for the hearing, whatever,
- 12 but.
- MR. TOWLER: It does increase, the hearing,
- 14 from what I've seen.
- 15 MS. RAY: Yes. They have meetings like we had
- 16 yesterday, record long.
- 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Fun to sit in on.
- MS. RAY: Oh, yeah.
- 19 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: They probably get CE
- 20 credit for attending the meetings.
- MS. RAY: I don't know.
- BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So are we just going
- 23 to leave this for further discussion?
- 24 MS. RAY: What, the one we were just talking
- 25 | about?

1	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah.
2	MS. RAY: Well, you know, it's not your it
3	will be raised again. I've received an e-mail asking
4	that it be relooked into by legal counsel. But, as you
5	heard today, the statute's pretty clear. So. I'm sure
6	it's not the end of it. So.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Number 33,
8	Board discussion and possible action determined if
9	Correction
10	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: We already did that.
11	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, that's right, we
12	did. That was early this morning.
13	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: It seems like that's
14	been
15	MS. RAY: 2:10.
16	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 2:10 again.
17	
18	AGENDA ITEM 36
19	(FROM 12-08-10 AGENDA)
20	
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Where are we? 36.
22	MS. RAY: 36.
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Discussion, comments
24	and approval of staff's proposed criteria for
25	registration application denials and registered

1 applicant's appeal process. MS. RAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 Nick and Mark, did you get a copy of this? 3 This was one of the faxes we've been trying to send to 4 you. 5 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I believe, I did. 6 MS. RAY: There should be two copies in your 7 fax, one for each of you. 8 9 This is an item that we've had on the agenda for a few meetings. And this still is a draft. And I 10 don't believe that staff has had much time to review it, 11 either, or -- but I thought at least we've got a working 12 copy. And I don't know if you want me to go through it 1.3 just to kind of -- it might help with you guys 14 understanding, you know, what happens before they 15 actually get here to see you for an appeal. 16 17 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: M-hm (affirmative). MR. RAY: So I don't know if you want me to 18 read it or not. 19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: This whole thing? 2.0 2.1 MS. RAY: It's up to you. It goes through how the process works when we receive a paper application 22 from an applicant and what steps are taken. And then it 2.3 also talks about the on-line application process and the 24 25 steps that are taken.

And, I think, Nick and Tammy and the rest of the staff, again, I don't think they've all had an opportunity to look at this. And they will probably find some things that I left out or missed. But we're just trying to get some procedures nailed down. And that's the purpose of this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The third page is the denial of registration applicants. So I'll just read that:

The Private Investigators Licensing Board may conduct investigations to determine the suitability of applicants for registration or renewal. Applications submitted in hard copy form or through the on-line application process may be denied for the following reasons: Falsification of application; nondisclosure of arrest history; any felony conviction, no matter how long; a crime involving moral turpitude; the illegal use or possession of a dangerous weapon; a domestic battery offense within the last 12 months; petit larceny within the last 12 months; if applicant is subject to a court order for the payment for the support of one or more children, an applicant is not in compliance with the order or -- order, or applicant does not have a plan approved by the district attorney or other public or private agency enforcing the order for the repayment of the amount owed pursuant to the order.

1	Those are some of the things that we've been
2	denying on. And if I left any out, please let me know.
3	Nick, do you not have a copy?
4	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: No.
5	MS. RAY: You have one in your e-mail.
6	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Oh, I have a copy in my
7	e-mail, yes.
8	MS. RAY: Okay. Do you want to make a
9	copy?
10	INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: No, I'm good.
11	MS. RAY: Okay. Then I found some other
12	information that other states had and we can omit. But
13	this is, like I said, this is a draft.
14	Other items to consider industry related was
15	the conviction of a nature that would be related to the
16	duties and functions the individual would perform as a
17	registered employee. The following crimes may be
18	considered as industry related and as such could be
19	deniable offenses. Which come of these are a
20	duplication: Breaking and entering; burglary;
21	possession of burglary tools; drug offences; damage to
22	real and personal property; crimes involving fraud;
23	damage to and tampering with property; false pretenses
24	or misrepresentations; larceny; forgery; bribery;
25	obstruction of justice; extortion; unlawful wire

communications; impersonating a law enforcement officer; 1 threats of bodily injury; impersonating public safety 2 personnel; assault; habitual offender; assault and 3 battery; sexual assault; obscenities; stalking; robbery; 4 homicide; concealed weapons violations. 5 We've struggled with the term moral turpitude, 6 and I was just trying also to get something, when we do 7 finalize these, that addresses moral turpitude. And I 8 won't read it. But you can do that. BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Could we back up just for a 10 second to where it says the applicant submitted a hard 11 copy? 12 MS. RAY: Yes. 1.3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: And then it lists the 14 different reasons that it may be denied. After we get 15 down to -- after we get past the felony, is that 16 17 conviction or just the arrest? MS. RAY: Where are you, Mark? 18 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Up where it says 19 falsification of an application, nondisclosure of arrest 20 2.1 history. MS. RAY: Oh, okay. Yes. 22 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Any felony conviction. 23 Now, below that, or below crime involving moral 24 25 turpitude, will that be convictions or arrests?

1	MS. RAY: Well, 060 doesn't really say.
2	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Well, see, down below that,
3	it says that there must be a conviction. And the other
4	items to be considered, it says
5	MS. RAY: Oh. You're right.
6	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: It says conviction there.
7	MS. RAY: Okay. So.
8	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: But the stuff up above
9	doesn't.
10	MS. RAY: So we could say Was the arrest" I
11	don't know. Like I said, this is a draft. Maybe
12	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay.
13	MS. RAY: And, actually, it probably should be
14	applications. It doesn't oh, no. Okay. Submitted
15	in hard copy form or through the on-line application
16	process. So it's not. I thought you were saying it was
17	separated out.
18	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: So if we used this, under
19	the way it's written right now, if you were arrested but
20	not convicted of a domestic battery, you may be denied.
21	MS. RAY: Well, yes, as it's written right now.
22	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay.
23	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Key word.
24	MS. RAY: Right.
25	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: But it also says "may"

```
and not "shall."
1
            MS. RAY:
                      Right. And I think --
 2
            BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Well, that, that's where it
 3
   causes me the greatest amount of concern. Because
 4
   unless we have something finite to make the denial, it
 5
   doesn't give staff as much guidance as, you know,
 6
   everything is just, "Well, based upon my understanding
 7
   and my reading, you should be denied."
 8
9
            MS. RAY: Well, I --
            BOARD MEMBER ZANE: If it says, if is says
10
    "may," that I don't have any trouble with that type of
11
   staff ability. I don't have any trouble there. It's
12
    just a matter of the application of the "may."
13
            MS. RAY: And, again, trying to also be
14
   consistent in the way we've been doing things since
15
   January 1 and taking into consideration, you know -- I
16
   mean we have staff's denial, and then just, you know,
17
   whether the Board continues or upholds the denial or
18
   overturns the denial. So.
19
            So maybe we just need to not have other items
20
    to consider and take out industry related and just have
2.1
22
    some bullet points that we may deny on, and.
            BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I think, everybody agrees
2.3
    that it's any felony.
24
25
            MS. RAY: Well, that's in the statute.
                                                     So.
```

1	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Correct. And then
2	MS. RAY: Or crimes involving moral turpitude
3	or the how does that dangerous weapons offense.
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I can't submit it
5	because I can't spell it.
6	MS. RAY: But moral turpitude, you know, we've
7	run into that often, with that term being so broad. Has
8	not been convicted of a felony or a crime involving
9	moral turpitude or the illegal use or possession of a
10	dangerous weapon. So that's any felony. And is that,
11	does that interpret as any felony, moral turpitude
12	offense or
13	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: No.
14	MS. RAY: a crime, not necessarily a
15	conviction?
16	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Well, I think it's a law.
17	As far as the license as to any crime involving moral
18	turpitude, it does not have to be felony.
19	MS. RAY: Right.
20	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Just any crime.
21	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: My concern is when we
22	based denial should be based on conviction and to
23	me, on any crime. The mere fact that someone was
24	arrested, to me, is I would find that very difficult
25	to deny someone a license based on an arrest.

1	Now, if we have so if we have the
2	documentation of how of a conviction or how it was
3	adjudicated, that's fine. If there's a question on the
4	disposition, which we know is a huge number of these
5	arrests, I think, I think, then, denial can be based
6	without some type of finality to the charge. So if
7	someone has an arrest for illegal use of or possession
8	of a dangerous weapon, and there's no disposition, then,
9	then, I think, a denial is probably appropriate. And
10	then it's up to them to bring something to something
11	forth that shows that there wasn't a conviction. And I
12	think
13	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: M-hm (affirmative).
14	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I don't know what our
15	legal, what our counsel would say to that. But, to me,
16	if there's a question, then it's up to the applicant,
17	because this is a privileged license, it's up to the
18	applicant to come forward with something that tells us
19	that that shouldn't be a consideration.
20	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Like 15 times a day.
21	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Well, exactly.
22	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: But you say what
23	about somebody that establishes a pattern, they're
24	arrested over and over, and they just keep
25	catching lucky breaks, and first the evidence was messed

up or tainted, or this or that? But how many times have 1 you been arrested? I've never been arrested. You find 2 somebody that's been arrested five times, you think of a 3 pattern. You can't really assume they're really 4 innocent all five times. 5 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And I understand that 6 argument and probably have ventured into that argument 7 in the past myself. Yet, I think, we need to hold them 8 accountable for their -- for a conviction. And it's all on the wheel. And sometimes what goes around comes 10 around. And, ultimately, they're going to be caught. I 11 don't know. I'm just one member of the Board. So, you 12 know, that's just my opinion. 1.3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Isn't that pretty much 14 covered, though, with the moral character issue and the 15 temperate habits? I mean if you've got a series of 16 17 arrests and whatnot that shows a character issue, that's one thing. But I agree, I think that from a criminal 18 history standpoint, we should only make determinations 19 based upon conviction. 2.0 2.1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I agree. 22 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Simply as it applies to criminal history. 2.3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. And what you're 24 25 saying is these other factors may come in, and some

other consideration, but as far as when we're dealing 1 with -- specifically with them --2 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yes, if the person was 3 arrested 10 times and forgot to put five of them on 4 their application, that's something for us to consider. 5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: 6 Yes. BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Not whether or not they 7 were convicted necessarily, but the fact that they did 8 9 not include the necessary information in the 10 registration process. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And you got to 11 remember, too, that we do the wrong thing in a public 12 place, we're going to hear about it and pay for it. 1.3 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: No, but it's like, for 14 instance, one of the people on the appeals today, these 15 charges were listed as dismissed, dismissed, dismissed, 16 17 dismissed. But then the next column says that it was put together with the charge that he -- you know, so the 18 thing is the court put it on there or somebody put it on 19 a piece of paper, charges dismissed, but they really 20 weren't dismissed, they were included as part of another 2.1 22 charge. And I mean, you know, so we've got to have 23 something, I think, more than anything else. But we've 24 25 got to remember that we have to have some kind of

latitude to consider situations like this, one way, or 1 situations where, you know, there have been a number of 2 arrests and no convictions, so, you know, there are no 3 felony convictions, whatever, the way we've been doing 4 it today. 5 I mean I think that's -- but we've got to have 6 a little latitude as far as "may." And that, now, 7 "shall" is appropriate in a lot of ways because if --8 9 you know, if somebody's been convicted of murder, hey, guess what? If they've been convicted of a burglary, 10 felony burglary, then they shouldn't, you know. 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So there is --12 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: But with other things, 13 you know, you got to keep things a little loose so that 14 we've got some discretion. And we can look at the 15 entire situation and not just one part of it. 16 I don't know. I don't know if I'm making any 17 18 sense. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You are. 19 MS. RAY: No, I think I can modify this and 20 capture what you said. 2.1 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I guess, just a little bit 22 I don't know if it's right or not. But I discern 2.3 a difference between our -- somebody who's registering 24 25 as an employee and the absolute licensee that carries

forward the privileged license. I don't know where I 1 get the sense, but I think that there's more of a right 2 to work than there is to a right to a license. You 3 know, I mean, for me, in my mind, there's a difference 4 between what level you hold on that. 5 And if there is no -- for example, if there is 6 no disposition, I think it's -- the burden falls back 7 onto us, instead of the other way around. Because the 8 9 government agency didn't fulfill its obligation, why should the person that's just trying to work for a 10 living have some burden to go out and prove that the 11 government should allow him to do this, us being the 12 government in that particular sense? 13 That's a libertarian view. 14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: My God, I'm a 15 libertarian. 16 INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Let me ask you. You say 17 that if somebody has a -- if we get the disposition, or 18 we get the fingerprint results back, and there's some 19 arrests on there, but there's no disposition, you want 2.0 it to be on us to contact the agencies and --2.1 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Well, I think it should be 22 on us not to use a record that's incomplete in order to 2.3 justify a preliminary denial. Because we're saying that 24 25 you have to have a conviction in order to be denied.

- Well, without that proof coming from some government agency to us, I think we lack the standing to say we're going to deny you simply because you haven't run your course, and you haven't been convicted.
  It just seems counter to a level of fairness that -- I mean if we're going to say that you've been arrested, and you're pending charges on particular
- 9 thing. But if we're talking about conviction, and then

things, and we make that in the regulation, that's one

- 10 we're putting the burden back on the person, saying,
- 11 | "We're going to deny you based upon the fact that some
- 12 other government agency's regulations is not complete,"
- 13 | it seems unfair.

8

- 14 INVESTIGATOR ROBLE: Well, what I try to do is
- 15 | I leave them provisional, if there's something that I
- 16 | can't resolve, I leave them provisional until they can
- 17 come in and bring me that proof. But sometimes we're
- 18 talking about things that are 25 years old, and the only
- 19 proof they have is they come in and say, "Hey, look, all
- 20 | I could get from the court is they have no record of a
- 21 | felony conviction."
- 22 So what that means to me -- and then I looked.
- 23 | If I have a case where it's serious enough, I have
- 24 | contacts at the metro CCW detail, and they'll run a
- 25 | triple line for me. And that's more -- no, I understand

- they're trip lines. They'll run a trip line for me if I 1 had -- if there's any question, whether there's a felony 2 conviction or could be a felony conviction. And maybe 3 they shouldn't be doing that, but I'm just trying to 4 protect. 5 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yeah. But I don't see the 6 level of possible sophistication increasing here with 7 some of the folks that are appearing. And sometimes you 8 9 look directly into their eye, and they're earnestly having problems in simply understanding. 10 11
  - BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That's right.

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

BOARD MEMBER ZANE: You know, and some of it's 12 Some of it's just lack of education. Some of 1.3 it's don't want to and wants to hide it from us. 14

But I know, I mean we can continue to go along as we are, but it's one of those deals where you have a difficult time. When the government says, when a government agency says they're relying upon a government record to deny you, I would hope that we have the necessary records to sustain that denial. So that the only thing that they're arguing when they come before us is whether or not we can, you know, see our way through to giving them another chance or, you know. Here, we're trying to judge what doesn't exist. Because the fact that once your FBI record comes back, and there's no

disposition, that's going to be --1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That could be 2 anything. 3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: -- 60 percent of the time. 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Mark, that doesn't 5 mean anything. 6 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Correct. 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And that's what I said 8 9 earlier, misunderstood what I was talking about, is that, you know, we got to make sure that what we're 10 putting out can pass the test. Because we're going to 11 get challenged, and then we're going to get sued. 12 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: But the -- but we're 1.3 talking about -- see, I don't have a -- I had no 14 problems with any of the appeals that came before us 15 today and the actions of staff, because I thought staff 16 17 did, you know, did their -- so I think what we're talking about, though, is at what level are some of 18 these decisions made. Does the Board think that 19 instances such as today should be brought to us, or 2.0 should they be handled at that level, different level? 2.1 And, I guess, that's -- you know, that's part of our 22 discussion. 2.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, that's part of 24 25 what this, this one part of this document --

1	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Right.
2	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: that she's created
3	is going to mean. And like Mark said, that one may not
4	be simple enough.
5	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Well, I'm firmly of the
6	belief, I mean if we're going to get a regulation, is
7	that statutory change, because I think that there should
8	be a hearing officer process in between staff and the
9	Board.
10	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: No.
11	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Because these numbers are
12	just going to continue to increase. You know, you'd
13	think that we would sit in an appellate position, you
14	know, once staff makes their determination, and then
15	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I made it all the way
16	to judge today.
17	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yeah.
18	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Yes, you did, Your Honor.
19	But, now, this isn't going to be a reg, though, Mark.
20	This is only going to be an internal policy.
21	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Right.
22	MS. RAY: Procedure.
23	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Yeah.
24	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Right. Right. But like I
25	said, you know, if I saw this as the unique deal, it

would need to be a statutory change in a level just 1 similar to all the other departments that are at the 2 state, with workers' comp or, you know, those areas 3 where you have an independent hearings officer. 4 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Well, I would suggest, 5 number one, with regard to the way we're doing things 6 now, I don't think -- I think, we're looking at trouble 7 if we force the investigators or staff to make a 8 decision where there's a -- you know, it could be right, it could be wrong. I mean the way they're doing it, 10 denying these applications and then letting the Board 11 make the decision in questionable cases as to whether or 12 not they're going to get a card, I think that's very 1.3 appropriate. Because for them to make the decision is 14 an unusual staff member. I mean the decision has to be 15 made by the Board, because that's what the Board's here 16 for. 17 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Do we have some kind 18 of -- what's the word I'm thinking of? Free zone where 19 we can't get sued for things that are not done, you 20 2.1 know --22 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Immunity? BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: -- objectionably or --23 you know what I'm trying to say? 24 25 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Immunity?

BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. 1 Do we have immunity for things like that? 2 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I don't think so. 3 ask him. 4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What I'm talking about 5 is those decisions where we might make a decision based 6 on faulty information, but you made that decision. 7 MS. RAY: Good faith. 8 9 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Good faith, where you're taking a good-faith decision or something. 10 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. 11 MS. RAY: And, Mr. Chairman, I just have a 12 comment. When I first put the language together for 1.3 this process, I tried to mirror it similar to the gaming 14 statutes and regulations. And I did have a section 15 where there was another level. So if staff denied an 16 17 application or a registration, I had language in there that created a panel, whether it be a number of staff, a 18 member from like Las Vegas Metro, Washoe County, you 19 know, some sort of a panel independent of the Board to 20 hear the first level of appeal. And then their last --2.1 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: No. 22 MS. RAY: -- course of action would be if that 23 panel didn't see it their way, then they would appeal to 24 25 the Board for final approval. Well, no, the Legislative

Counsel Bureau said that they do not have statutory 1 authority to do that. 2 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: No. 3 MS. RAY: And, you know, gaming --4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You just got to find 5 another five or six hours in your day. 6 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: And we're taking 7 furloughs. 8 BOARD MEMBER SPENCER: Yes. 9 MS. RAY: So, you know, we did try and do that 10 so that there was a middle level. I don't know that 11 there's anything that prevents us from having a hearing 12 officer. 1.3 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: I think that would, 14 frankly, just put an extra step in there, 15 administratively --16 MS. RAY: Yes. 17 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: -- that's going to eat up 18 more time and more work and the whole nine yards, and 19 the way we're doing it now is just fine. 2.0 2.1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, yes, as long as 22 we can do what's within our power --BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yeah. 2.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: -- to make them, make 24 25 the applicants understand that there's a better way, or

there will be a better way of doing this and a better 1 way of ensuring that you will get things done before you 2 come before the Board, and these are those steps. 3 And Mechele's got it put in this graph that 4 she's got here now. And we may decide we have to add on 5 6 things to that as we go. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: M-hm (affirmative). 7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: But. 8 9 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: It just seems to me that it would be nicer to have it streamlined so somebody's not 10 able to feed their family for three months waiting for 11 us to have a meeting. 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I agree. And a lot of 1.3 that, I think, can happen if it starts at the source, 14 you know. And if I'm --15 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Internally, if we had a 16 hearings officer, and he was like a member of staff, you 17 know, that once there was a denial, then you had this 18 opportunity to come in and clean up all of this mess, 19 and the hearings officer says, "I'm now satisfied 2.0 2.1 that," you know. 22 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: But the comment on that simply is, look, you know, you're -- you're a 2.3 libertarian. 24 25 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: No, part of --

1	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: And these applicants that
2	have the individual responsibility, they're given
3	instructions
4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That's right.
5	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: to put certain
6	information on a form. And either they can't read,
7	don't want to read, or don't want to take the time to
8	read. They're the ones that are making the decisions
9	and doing the things that keep them from working for
10	three months.
11	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I would totally and
12	completely agree if we were dealing with high school
13	graduates, who read and write. I would totally and
14	completely agree with somebody that functions in society
15	at a normal level. I would totally agree. You're
16	absolutely correct. But you can see, by listening,
17	talking and hearing, that that's not necessarily the
18	case in the trade, one of the trades that or several
19	of the trades that we regulate.
20	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Could we talk off the
21	record for a minute about something? I'd just like to
22	know if we I'm just kidding.
23	MS. RAY: Okay. Should I go on with the appeal
24	process, or do you have any more comments on the I'll
25	come back with, you know, some changes on this.

BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: 1 Okay. MS. RAY: So. Applicants for registration, 2 renewal or existing registered employees whose 3 registrations have been denied are notified by certified 4 mail that their registration has been denied or revoked. 5 The notification letter reads or includes reason for 6 denial, revocation, contact information if staff 7 requires additional information, instructions on how to 8 9 request an appeal in front of the Board, if applicable. If applicable, obviously, if we know it's a felony, you 10 know, it's a done deal. We don't bring it to you. 11 mean the statute, you know, is pretty clear on that. 12 We had some discussion today on including a 1.3 little bit more information in the letter that's sent 14 saying, you know, please provide, you know, records or 15 court documents, you know, any information they feel 16 17 that would -- they could present for their case. The letters that are sent from the 18 investigators, oftentimes the appeal -- you know, 19 they're notified that they have been denied. And if the 20 investigator feels that it's something that they can 21 22 resolve, then they instruct the applicant to send their appeal and any supporting documents to them directly. 23 Once they receive that and it's been satisfied to, you 24 25 know -- to what they've asked for, then they can

1 overturn the denial at that point. And it never makes it to the Board. 2 The ones that you see are the ones that, you 3 know, have -- you know, the circumstances are such that, 4 you know, the investigator feels it needs to come before the Board. 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Could, at that point, 7 when you're doing that and trying to accomplish what 8 9 we've talked about here -- and I know the investigators are probably not going to want to hear this. But could 10 we then, once you've told them what they need to do, can 11 they call you back and ask more questions? 12 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: They do all the time, 1.3 every day. 14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Because a lot of these 15 today, had they taken the time to call and ask the right 16 17 questions -- and I know that's the problem, is asking the right questions -- we wouldn't have had these people 18 on the agenda. 19 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Right. 20 investigators, we bend over backwards trying to keep 2.1 22 them from getting to this point. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, I know you do. 2.3 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: For example, if it's a 24 25 simple warrant on traffic that they didn't even know

they had, I'll often call them and won't even send the 1 denial letter if they take care of it and show me proof 2 the warrant's been quashed. I won't even send the 3 letter. You know, we do all sorts of steps to prevent 4 from getting here. But then there are times that we 5 don't feel we're the ones that should be making the 6 final decision, and that's the ones that we bring before 7 you guys. 8 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, just during the meeting today, I asked our counsels, you know, about --10 11 oh, I just dropped that whole thing. INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: What I said about quash. 12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh. About having to 13 advise people of their rights, when I'm in here past the 14 first stage, should we have to advise them of their 15 rights. He says, you know, I'd have to live by it. 16 But when they're talking to you on the phone, 17 and can you ask them if you have a felony conviction? 18 mean that's the big thing. 19 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: When I talk to people on 20 the phone, and they say, well, I have this arrest or 21 22 that arrest, we get those calls every day all day along also. And I tell them, without actually seeing their 2.3 criminal history, I could not advise them. But I do 24 25 tell them for sure, if you have a felony conviction, you

absolutely cannot have a work card. And I go through 1 the NRS with them. And I'm sure the other investigators 2 do. Because the last thing we want to do is have these 3 people apply and deny them, because then they're like, 4 "But I'm out all this money, and I have to borrow the 5 money to pay the restitution." We don't like to hear 6 that. We don't like taking the people's money. But 7 once we've got this application, we can't refund it, 8 9 because most of that money goes to the fingerprint 10 results anyway. 11 So we do our best not to have people apply that are --12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'm not being critical 13 of the way you do it. I'm just saying can you take on a 14 little more? 15 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Well, we have been. 16 Wе 17 have been, seriously. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. 18 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Every day, all day long. 19 We get these calls, and we --20 2.1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So you're already doing what I'm asking you if you can do. 22 MS. RAY: The ones that you have here today 2.3 needed to come before you. We've already whittled them 24 25 down.

1 INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: Right. I think, as this process goes on, it just is we're all learning. I mean 2 I certainly had fewer appeals this time than I have had. 3 I mean we're learning, we're learning and we're trying 4 to do more. And so we really do do our best to keep 5 them from getting here. 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Like I said, I'm not 7 being -- not being critical of what you're doing. I'm 8 9 just needing to find out if you do that already. INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: 10 We do. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Mr. Chairman? 11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, sir? 12 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: It looks like there's an 1.3 awful lot of ins and outs and a lot of fine points in 14 the situation that perhaps need to be honed. I don't 15 know if it's appropriate or not, but I -- because I 16 17 don't think we're going to come to any conclusions today. 18 MS. RAY: 19 No. BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Could we sort of maybe 20 schedule a workshop or something for Board members and 21 staff, taking -- you know, spend a whole day just 22 beating this thing to death until we get it down to 2.3 where we can use it. 24 25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We got to schedule it,

1	yeah. What do you think?
2	MS. RAY: Yeah.
3	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Do you think we'll
4	come to a point, with what you've done, that we could
5	sit down and look at some kind of a product, make it
6	three days?
7	MS. RAY: Three days, yeah. You'll have the
8	public, too, though.
9	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah.
L 0	MS. RAY: You can't have it just Board members
L 1	and staff, right.
L 2	MR. TOWLER: That's right.
L 3	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, that's not bad.
L <b>4</b>	MS. RAY: So it's a public meeting.
L 5	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: But it might good for
L 6	the licensing people to see what our criteria is going
L 7	to be, so they know whether to send their people to us
8 .	or not.
L 9	MS. RAY: They're not supposed to start there.
2 0	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: They're not supposed to,
21	but.
22	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Unfortunately, it
23	would probably be better to hold it in Las Vegas.
2 4	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: What's that?
25	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And, unfortunately, it

1	probably would be better to hold it in Las Vegas. If
2	you get if you got any more people who are interested
3	in what you're going to have to do, they'll probably
4	come from there.
5	INVESTIGATOR WHATLEY: You'd probably have to
6	rent the convention center or something.
7	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: This gal's mother that
8	appeared is still shaking her head.
9	MS. RAY: Hm?
10	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: This gal's mother that
11	appeared today is still shaking her head.
12	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: About what?
13	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Brandi, or whatever
14	her name was, the mom just still couldn't believe that
15	our Board would take the time to do that.
16	Where are we?
17	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mechele can come back
18	with some more information.
19	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Do you want to
20	take that one?
21	
22	AGENDA ITEM 37
23	(FROM 12-08-10 AGENDA)
24	
25	MS. RAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This, too,

1	is I just provided you all a copy of the draft. You
2	know, we put together a policy manual. You're going to
3	want to take some time. You're not going to want to
4	deal with it today. Fortunately, you know, I was able
5	to do some cut-and-pasting from the Attorney General's
6	policy manual and then just, you know, tailored it to
7	the Board.
8	So, you know, again, this is an item that's
9	been on, I don't know, three or four meeting agendas,
10	and we just haven't had the time to get anything put
11	together.
12	
13	AGENDA ITEM 38
14	(FROM 12-08-10 AGENDA)
15	
16	MS. RAY: And, Mr. Chairman, if you don't mind,
17	38, I don't have anything, I mean. The draft on the
18	registration, that's the first part of the procedure
19	manual that we worked on.
2 0	
21	AGENDA ITEM 39
22	(FROM 12-08-10 AGENDA)
23	
2 4	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. 39, Board
25	comment. I'd like to, again, in amazement, thank staff

for all that you do. 1 (Board members clapped.) 2 And have it in a really good position. And I 3 really enjoy having our own two staff attorneys. 4 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yes. 5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: It's still better than 6 sitting in your office all day, right? 7 MS. OKEZIE: That's right. 8 9 MR. TOWLER: Yes. BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I want to thank you 10 both for being here. And you're free to come back. 11 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman, at the risk 12 of dragging this meeting out, Mechele, we got an e-mail 1.3 regarding someone wanting to look at security consultant 14 15 again. MS. RAY: Oh, I was going to read that for the 16 record, yes. And I know he said he wasn't going to be 17 here. But I will read it. And then it's just a public 18 comment. And then, you know, we've got some other 19 issues that were on public comment yesterday that will 2.0 probably be agenda items down the road. 2.1 So. Okay. This is from David Berger. 22 He is a security consultant: 2.3 "Is there any way the standing of security 24 25 consultant could be reevaluated? I really cannot

understand why it is considered a function of private 1 investigators. We do not conduct investigations of any 2 kind. Our job as a consultant is reviewing the 3 discovery of documents that are presented to us for our 4 client's attorneys. Those documents are 5 interrogatories, depositions and any other written 6 materials related to the case at issue. The research we 7 engage in, such as utilizing the Internet, is just that, 8 9 again, reviewing data that may be relevant to the case Finally, the inspection, which is simply a 10 at issue. viewing of the scene and/or the environment of the event 11 simply to formulate a picture in our mind as to how the 12 event occurred. 1.3 "It is our job on the plaintiff's side to 14 attempt to determine whether security was adequate or 15 inadequate at the time of the event and whether or not 16 17 security could have either aided the criminal activity or was able to prevent it. We do not investigate. 18 study and review circumstances in an effort to determine 19 responsibility. 2.0 "I practice in many states in this country, and 2.1 not one of them has required me to be licensed under any 22 It is a matter of fact that experts from all 2.3 category. over the country come to Las Vegas or Reno to testify in 24

our courts, and not one of them that I know of has ever

25

been licensed here. There are at least 10 outside 1 consultants to every one from Nevada, probably more. 2 Also, there are two categories to our profession. 3 are, first and foremost, consultants, and as such enjoy 4 a limited privilege under the attorney's work product 5 doctrine. Then, if we are designated to testify at 6 trial, we then become expert witnesses. We then have no 7 protection and must respond to any and all questions 8 9 asked by the opposition. I just cannot consider either to be an investigative function. The expert witness 10 function of my job is just that, to appear at trial and 11 testify as an expert within the discipline of security 12 and present an opinion in the case at issue. 1.3 "I would greatly appreciate the licensing of 14 security consultants be eliminated from the private 15 investigator category or from any other category 16 17 requiring a license to practice. The courts, both state and federal, have requirements for individuals to 18 testify as an expert witness. Not once in the 38 years 19 I have been in this profession has the court required or 2.0 even asked me if I was licensed to practice as an 2.1 22 expert. "Thank you for your consideration. Very truly 23 yours, David L. Berger." 24 25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Obviously, he doesn't

live in Nevada. He probably says "NEH-VAH-DAH."

1

MS. RAY: Yes. So he asked that this be 2 presented at public comment. 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So. 4 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Okay. 5 MS. RAY: Did you have a comment? 6 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I have no comment. 7 MS. RAY: Oh. 8 9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I don't have anything else. Motion? 10 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: At the risk of being shot 11 for moving this along, based upon the content of his 12 letter and those things that he indicated that was what 1.3 he does, it would seem to me like he's not subject to 14 licensing, as long as he's not interviewing witnesses, 15 going out and doing preliminary investigations. That 16 17 sounds to me like he's -- I mean we just went through this with Tatalovich. And, on one hand, we held that 18 Tatalovich was in violation, because he took those other 19 steps, so, going there with the father, you know, asking 20 questions and doing an inquiry other than just looking 2.1 over the scene, looking at all the reports, and deriving 22 some expert opinion based upon it. 2.3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You said at risk of 24 25 moving this along?

1	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Yes, well, I
2	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I agree. I agree with
3	Mark, we just went through that. Everything he was
4	saying fell within that, what we already discussed.
5	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: You know, but I just
6	bet you and I could be wrong; I frequently am but
7	the power behind putting that in the regs was came
8	from a high source. In other words, they want to make
9	sure that the people who are doing this are qualified.
10	And do you do you don't do that?
11	I do some of that work. And I can tell you
12	that some of the questions that are asked and some of
13	the things that you're telling people that they need to
14	do, if you're not qualified to do it, you shouldn't be
15	doing it.
16	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: M-hm (affirmative).
17	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And it's none of this
18	stuff that they're talking about.
19	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Well, like I said, I think
20	we have an Attorney General's opinion, a formal Attorney
21	General's opinion that discusses what, you know, is
22	outside the purview of the private investigator. And, I
23	think, on those occasions when a person, as part of his
24	expert process, is going to go out and do fundamental
25	things, he would need to become a registered employee or

be assisted by a licensee. And those would be rare 1 occasions, if you're actually acting as an expert and 2 just evaluating the evidence. 3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That's right. 4 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: But this is for security 5 consultants, right; that's what we're talking about? 6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I mean, yeah. 7 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: But, to me, it's 8 9 semantics. He wants to call himself --BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That's right. 10 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: He wants to call himself 11 a security consultant. And so, therefore, by using that 12 terminology and advertising as such, that he falls 13 within our regulations. It's semantics. If he wants to 14 call himself a security expert, I don't know if that 15 would fall within our purview, but. 16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Purview. 17 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. But if he's going 18 to call himself a security consultant, then he falls 19 within the regulations, regardless of what he says they 2.0 2.1 are. 22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That's right. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mechele, you were going 2.3 to say something? 24 25 MS. RAY: Oh, well, I can look at the statute,

1	so.
2	BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Anticipate a statutory
3	change. And he's welcome to, he's welcome to bring a
4	have a legislator bring it forward.
5	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Are we still in comments?
6	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah.
7	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: I have a comment and
8	something that I think we need to look very hard at.
9	And that is, the people who execute these reference
10	forms, one of them that we looked at yesterday, all
11	three of his references were first-degree relatives,
12	Rodriguez, his father, his sister and a coworker. I got
13	no problem with the coworker. But the father and
14	sister? You know, I mean maybe we could just put some
15	kind of
16	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Other than family
17	members.
18	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yeah, well, other than
19	first-degree relatives, family members. One of them had
20	a daughter-in-law, you know. I'm sorry, but.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: But this is,
22	obviously, missing, missing the grasp of what they're
23	being asked to do.
24	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yeah, well, there's
25	you know, because I mean somebody saying, "Hey, my

daddy's a good boy, " or, "My brother and my sister's 1 just fine, and they haven't got nothing, " I mean it's 2 totally worthless. It's totally worthless and 3 contributes nothing to the investigation. 4 So maybe just some kind of comment, you know, 5 that -- or instructions that, you know, use -- don't use 6 first-degree relatives or employees of yours, or 7 whatever, you know. 8 MS. RAY: Mr. Chairman and Board Member Putnam, 9 we'll raise that with the background investigators and 10 anybody reviewing the applications. There was a time 11 that we used to catch those. And maybe just with 12 having, you know, some new people doing background 1.3 applications, it was just missed. 14 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Oh, no, I'm not faulting 15 16 anybody. MS. RAY: No, no, I know. But we'll -- I'll 17 raise it there first. Because usually we can catch 18 those and tell them that this is fine, but you need 19 2.0 more. BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Are you talking about 2.1 references or --22 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: References. 2.3 MS. RAY: Oh, references. 24 25 BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Yeah, references.

1	MS. RAY: I think, the reference form, I
2	thought it mentions that. But I will look at that.
3	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Well, because, like I
4	say, if you look through some of these that we did
5	yesterday, one of them's Rodriguez, item 13. He's got a
6	father, sister and coworker.
7	MS. RAY: And he was a no-show, too. Okay.
8	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Also, and that maybe
9	we should have you introduced her to everyone?
10	MS. RAY: I am so sorry.
11	MS. CHRISTENSEN: That's okay.
12	MS. RAY: Kimberly Christensen. She was
13	introduced when she was sitting in the audience in
14	Las Vegas at the last meeting. But she is now here, and
15	we're very glad to have her. So.
16	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Thank you.
17	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: All right.
18	BOARD MEMBER ZANE: We spent a particular
19	amount of time staring at her today.
20	MS. RAY: Yeah.
21	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Who is that?
22	Motion to dismiss?
23	BOARD MEMBER PUTNAM: Move the meeting be
24	closed.
25	BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Second. All in favor?

```
(Board members said "aye.")
 1
             BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Opposed?
 2
             We're off.
 3
                              * * * * *
 4
               (The meeting adjourned at 2:57 p.m.)
 5
                                -000-
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, SHANNON L. TAYLOR, a Nevada Certified Court Reporter, Nevada CCR #322, do hereby certify:
4	That I was present at the Office of the
5	Attorney General, 100 North Carson Street, Mock Court Room, Carson City, Nevada, on Thursday, December 9,
6	2010, and commencing at 9:20 a.m. took stenotype notes of a meeting of the State of Nevada Private
7	Investigators Licensing Board;
8	That I thereafter transcribed the aforementioned stenotype notes into typewriting as
9 10	herein appears, and that the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1 through 184, is a full, true, and correct transcription of said stenotype notes of said
11	meeting;
	I further certify that I am not an attorney or counsel for any of the parties, not a relative or
12	employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the
13	actions, nor financially interested in the actions.
14	DATED: At Carson City, Nevada, this 18th day of February, 2011.
15	
16	
17	SHANNON L. TAYLOR Nevada CCR #322, RMR
18	Nevada CCR #322, RMR
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	